

Updated to issue 2012/10. Please notify errors & omissions.

Where the date format is, e.g., 07/5/61, that indicates the item is in an issue of *Byway and Bridleway* (2007, issue 5, page 61). Where the date format is, e.g. 2 June 2003, that indicates that the item is in an issue of *Byway & Bridleway Extra*, with that issue date.

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Issues</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>B&B</u>
Accommodation roads	Inspector's view on	Decisions in brief	10/1/8
Administrative remedies see also ombudsman, & natural justice	The ombudsman, etc	On administrative remedies	85/2/8
Adverse possession of highway.	Adverse possession - claim of	<i>Smith v. Land Registry</i> [2009]	09/3/33
Alignment of routes	Correcting a definitive line Clean Neighbourhoods & Environment Act 2005	An abandoned towpath Clean Neighbourhoods & Environment Act 2005	07/2/22
Alleygating		No sign of signs	05/7/59 05/8/71
'Amenity' improvements to roads	'Amenity' reason to keep encroachment	Tubs and shrubs ..	04/8/68
Badgers	Badgers, paths and conservation	Badgers and access:	95/10/62
Balance of user		Byway or Carriageway	16 Aug 05
Best Value	Investigation into a RoW department	Best Value hits Bradford	01/4/22
BOATs	Across gardens	Up the garden path	11/3/72
	Added by user evidence, post-NERCA	An alternative link	30 May 09
	Awarded road - can it be a BOAT?	Crook O'Moor Road	06/6/67
	Awarded "Public Road"	Not a BOAT	06/12/26
	Balance of user test	A helping hand	96/4/26
		And stung again	99/8/60
		Badly stung	99/8/60
		Credible but not conclusive	00/3/18
		No BOAT for Rattery	09/6/72
		<i>R v. Wiltshire County Council Ex p Nettlecombe Ltd.</i>	98/3/22
	BOAT added, <i>Fortune</i> case applied.	Mixed <i>Fortune</i>	12/3/40
	BOAT classification did not exist before 1968	A BOAT too soon	08/3/32
	Deemed dedication not Nettlecombed	Oh yes it is!	00/2/12
	Delay in process - 16 years	Twelve weeks?	08/5/51
	Evidence of maintenance taken for status	Evidence of maintenance	99/6/42
	Highway authority looks for excuses	No BOATs at any price?	99/6/41
		No BOATs at any price #2	99/8/61
	Inspector's mistake as to user evidence	Some mistake, surely?	95/1/4
	Magistrates' order defeats BOAT order	The BOAT that never was	08/5/60
		Inspector finds BOAT because of Parish maintenance	1 May 01
	Mastiles Lane DMMO	A justified complaint	95/4/18
	NERCA 2006 in action	A freak climb in the fells	10/1/7
		A 210-year-old public carriageway	11/2/47
		A lost BOAT	10/2/26
		A partial exemption	12/8/90

	A private street	09/6/74
	A qualifying application	10/11/186
	A question of interpretation	12/9/99
	An anomaly rectified	10/3/48
	An exceptional exception	09/4/53
	An unusual exception	12/8/88
	Another BOAT is sunk	11/2/46
	Another problem of listing	10/4/69
	Another cul-de-sac BOAT	08/1/8
	Blackbirds Lane	10/3/49
	BOAT application leads to 2 cul-de-sacs	06/12/26
	BOATs arise from bridleway orders due to NERC exemptions : defra advice held wrong.	07/4/41
	Bridleway application leads to RB	06/12/26
	Cam High Road	11/8/124
	Decisions in brief	08/5/64
		10/3/52
	Elucidating the exceptions	10/3/42
	Exemption still not engaged	2 Feb 2009
	Exception now irrelevant	2 Feb 2009
	Exceptional circumstances	2 June 2008
	A cul-de-sac RB in Swanland	12/5/56
	Invalidity confirmed	08/4/45
	On the list	10/10/173
	Losing the way?	10/10/172
	Main lawful use?	11/4/88
	More on non-compliant BOAT applications	10/4/70
	More restricted byways	10/3/50
	Not considered when adding BOAT	06/12/26
	Not on the list	11/5/98
	Notts applications still not compliant	10/7/130
	Restriction upheld	2 Feb 2009
	Restriction upheld	09/5/63
	Second thoughts on extinguishment	11/7/116
	Silly Dale & Foolow Road	2 Feb 2009
	Still not acceptable	08/5/63
	Wiltshire Bridleway order fails & succeeds	16 May 07
	Wiltshire bridleway order revisited - NERC bites	07/4/41
	When is a list not a list?	10/3/43
Nettlecombe test applied	Confusion worse confounded	00/2/10
	<i>Jacques and Nettlecombe</i>	99/9/72
	<i>More Nettlecombe</i>	99/9/71
	User and BOAT status	99/2/9
Nettlecombe test misapplied?	Bishop speaks, ex cathedra?	99/5/31
Nettlecombe dead for RUPPs	<i>Masters v. SoS for DETR July 2000</i>	00/8/56
Nettlecombe test restrained	<i>Buckland v. SoS for DETR [2000]</i>	00/6/44
Obstructed route - Order made for BOAT	<i>Post Masters</i>	01/10/47
Order not confirmed because vehicular user is too great	Technical hitches	01/3/17
Ploughing & cropping of BOAT	The Road to Flodden Field	11/8/120
Position of route on order not accurate enough	American Road	10/6/110
Refusal to make DMMO on spurious grounds in Kent	Beyond our Kent	01/3/15
	Christmas boneshaker	01/2/10
	Bridleway Order confirmed as BOAT on the evidence	4 Mar 06
Unusual sort of road		

	User evidence in bridleway DMMO shows BOAT status	On the trail User friendly Welsh BOATs	05/3/15 94/7/27 05/3/13
	Width of gates too narrow for vehicles <i>Winchester</i> case applied	A narrow conclusion Decisions in brief Gad, Sir! Not fully compliant The letter of the law <i>Winchester</i> rules	01/4/23 08/5/64 11/12/180 10/5/88 09/4/54 10/2/24
Bridges and crossings	Horse bridges, etc Horse crossing for main road Types of ancient footbridge Width of bridge in order	Bridge safety A safer crossing Walking on a wire Watching brief	03/1/2 02/9/49 05/4/27 12/4/53
Bridleways	Andrews Judgement prevents addition of bridleway Anomaly at route junction. Mod order fails. Barometer of RoW condition Breathalyser offence on bridleway Bridleway addition Cattle grid in surface of	Private carriage road & public bridleway but Order not confirmed Disputed bridleways Bridleways: the barometer... Drinks all round! Adding bridleway - <i>Trevelyan</i> doesn't count Playing the gridiron The only boy scout in step? <i>Kind v. Northumberland CC</i> [2012] EWHC 603.	06/12/26 12/4/49 97/2/9 98/10/68 8 Mar 03 99/3/14 00/6/39
	Claim over footpath rail crossing fails Conditions in Wiltshire Conditions in Yorkshire Condition of Crossings over roads Dead end is outcome of PI Dedication by landowners Dedication where footpath signs Downgrade to footpath Drove routes - coexisting? Electric fence alongside Extinguished after adding Extinguishment by land-locking Fords and widths of Hard surfaces on bridleways	Prohibited user A rider's eye view Nobody's baby? Journey for Access 2006 Feedback Dead end at Guisborough Review Footpath signs... Pack & Prime - Drift & Drove No change? Iver good idea An holistic approach Hard top - hard luck? Slip-slidin' away Feeding the hand that bites you? Horses - a health hazard?	12/2/31 00/10/71 95/9/54 00/2/15 07/2/16 12/8/94 10/2/27 11/10/152 99/10/84 17 Oct 03 99/5/36 99/9/69 1 May 01 04/10/79 10/10/175 99/2/12 99/3/13 99/3/20 07/4/40
	Hedge removal undermines user evidence Highway Code. No ref'n to cyclists giving way Holding bays provided 'Improving' surfaces Leading Question Long distance routes	A hedge too far Bridleways are not roads: official Decisions in brief More on 'improving' bridleways A bridleway from leading horses Growth of a... network The case for - in Wales The Swan's Way	11/2/47 11/4/81 09/6/75 00/4/27 1 May 01 90/10/39 00/4/28 87/2/7 30 Jun 04
	Motor use of Objection to bridleway upgrade by walkers Obstructed RUPP and cul-de-sac become bridleway Pinch points and width	Decisions in brief A virtual bridleway Pinch points	2 June 08 01/10/48 12/5/56

	Private use evidence defeats public way assertion	Carriers Way	10/6/110
	Rights established via led horses		1 May 01
	Safety as a criterion in creation order	Safety first	95/4/18
	Stiles as obstructions	Clear enough?	98/10/68
	Surfacing for cycles and motors	Black tops on bridleways	99/6/45
	Urban riding in London	Celebrating urban riding ...	03/3/21
	User evidence to prove	Wharfedale bridleways	03/7/48
		Evidential variety	03/9/64
	<i>Burrows v SoS</i>	User evidence	1 May 04
	Using the roadside verge to link	On the verge	94/7/25
	Width limitation DMMO fails	An unwarranted limitation	01/5/28
Bringing into question	Bringing into question	Bringing a challenge home	04/10/83
		Bring into question	9 May 01
		Bringing bridle rights into question	05/6/51
		Called into question	11/7/115
		Decisions in brief	3 Sep 06
		F&MD BiQ QED	11/14/214
		More on bringing into question	04/10/84
		Objection as a challenge?	1 May 01
		Questionable guidance?	03/8/58
		Question time, again.	03/8/59
		Test A or test B?	03/9/66
		When you're in a hole ...	04/1/4
		Bylaws	87/8/32
Bylaws	Basic rules and applications		
Cart roads - extent	Mileage of cart roads in each county 1816	55 Geo. III, cap. 47	99/10/82
		Return ticket	00/2/16
Carriage driving	Post-NERC use in the Yorkshire Dales	Carriage driving in ...	06/5/60
Cattle grid	In bridleway	Gone to see	09/6/66
Cessor order	Use of	Cessor order	88/9/33
	Revocation of?	Mmmm... we'd forgotten that	00/5/31
Challenge	Calling into Question	Colin Seymour opines	3 Jun 01
Churchyards / churchways	Dedication in	Consecration & dedication	08/1/7
		Consecration trumps dedication	12/6/77
		Dedication v. consecration	16 May 07
		More on consecration	08/6/71
Circulars & guidance	Countryside Code	Play it long ...	04/8/62
	DoE Circular 2/93	<i>Trevelyan</i> allows deletion	06/12/26
	Going in circles	Circular 1/08 launched - faulty	08/3/26
	Limitations and dedication: error in guidance?	Limited dedication	11/6/104
	Orders. Form of 'parts'	Recording a negative	11/6/105
	Recording, protecting, modifying, etc		
	Sport & recreation in planning	DoE Circ 2/93; WO Circ 5/93	93/3/9
	PPG 17		92/2/8
Codes of conduct	Why circulars 'bind' Inspectors	Stand inside my shoes	11/4/79
	Cycling in the countryside advice		
	Gearing Up		97/6/33
	Passing protocols		97/8/56
	Cycling in the Chilterns	Not like this, either	96/9/51
	Cycling in the Lake District	And yet more codes	96/9/51
	Cycling on Snowdon	Mountain overkill	92/2/5
	Ridgeway	Abroad, for pleasure	98/4/23
		Respectful iconoclast	95/9/50
		Ridgeway revisions	96/9/51

Common land	Vehicles in Lake District Access to	Boldly coded	96/8/44	
		A waste of time?	90/10/37	
		Access to rural common land	84/727	
		Common land farce	91/7/25	
		Common land forum	96/6/24	
		One man and his common	91/5/19	
		The future of common land	83/7/26	
		'Air and Exercise' defeat user evidence	Decisions in brief	9 July 2006
		Horse riding on	A paper by Bob Milton	29 July 04
		Rights of way across	Common misunderstandings	97/3/16
Common law dedication	Acquisition of rights in less than 20 years	Matters of law	06/6/67	
		Rights of access v. rights of way	96/10/63	
		Wandering over the waste	03/3/23	
		Common law dedication	94/8/31	
		Dedication of rights of way at common law	10/6/101	
		Conditions for com. law dedication	97/1/13	
		Common law criteria	00/2/13	
		Problems of dedication at common law	11/9/145	
		Dedication revisited	11/11/169	
		Defeats NERCA s.67		
Condition of RoW	Identification of landowner - presumption. Mixed test on one way	<i>James Wild v. SoS 2009</i>	10/2/13	
		A variously dedicated bridleway	07/6/71	
		Both tests satisfied	10/10/177	
		Split decision	02/6/31	
		Common law sufficiency	99/10/78	
		Period of user		
		Condition survey published	Missing the target	02/1/11
		Not a factor in DMMO	Impassable, but rights subsist	04/2/23
		ANPA 2002		02/8/44
		Conferences Conservation issues	Is conservation a factor in a s.54 order? BB Extra BB Extra	Not strictly for the birds
Habitat v Access	23 Dec 05			
Mereslack Bridge	2 Mar 06			
Copyright		Copyright or wrong?	10/11/180	
		More honor'd in the breach than	97/4/19	
Costs	Secrecy and copyright Copyright and definitive maps In court cases	Are definitive maps more elusive than official secrets?	01/3/19	
		Wrong about copyright?	00/10/65	
		Costs	90/4/16	
		Even Sir Humphrey might balk...	98/10/68	
		Sir Humphrey might doff his cap to this?	99/4/21	
		Urban deprivation	07/6/68	
		Sorry, no costs	00/4/25	
		A costly cancellation	16 May 07	
		A costly error	3 Sep 06	
		A costly intervention	14 Mar 08	
In hearings In public inquiries		A costly success	08/2/24	
		A full award	02/4/15	
		A successful appeal, but no costs	03/12/43	
		Calderdale clobbered	99/10/76	
		Decisions in brief	3 Sep 06	
		Delayed neutrality	09/2/21	
		Doubly unreasonable	09/6/72	
		Insubstantial and fruitless	12/9/101	
		Jack Lane #1	04/1/5	
		Jack Lane #2	04/2/12	
Jack Lane #3	04/3/29			
Jack Lane #4	04/10/82			
Jack Lane #5	05/7/68			

		Jack Lane #6	07/1/2
		No automatic linkage	06/1/7
		No costs for clumsiness	06/1/7
		Notts gets shot down	16 May 07
		Passing the buck	06/5/51
		Regrettable, but not unreasonable	07/55/56
		<i>Ridley & Masters v. SoS</i> [2009]	09/2/11
		Somewhat unreasonable, but cost-free	11/3/75
		That'll cost you	00/1/3
		The cost of unreasonableness	06/2/18
		Sandy Lane fallout	04/10/81
		Sorry, wrong paragraph	08/6/74
		Surveys and statements	11/14/212
		Unreasonable behaviour	03/7/47
		Unreasonable - but no costs	03/10/71
		Unreasonable, but no costs	04/7/55
		Unreasonable? Up to a point	08/4/44
		Unwise - but not unreasonable	11/4/92
		Watching brief	10/2/31
	In s.56 cases	<i>Riggall v. Hereford CC</i> 1972	99/8/65
	In written representation cases	Planning rules not to be extended to RoW orders	09/1/3
	Litigants in person - rules changed	<i>North Yorkshire County Council v. Kind</i> , 14th November 2000	02/1/4
Countryside Agency [Commission]	Condition survey of rights of way and new management proposals	Report on Condition Survey and Consultation Paper	96/10/64
	Greenways	Right way? Wrong way? Greenway	98/2/14
	National trails	Conference report 2002	02/5/23
	Radical proposals for changes to ROW law	Future shock?	98/7/47
	Recreational use report	The Access Study	87/4/13
	Review of policy document	A Living Countryside	96/5/32
	Tranquility mapping	Be calm ..	05/6/45
	Use of country roads	Roads in the Countryside	95/8/42
Countryside & Rights of Way Act 2000 - implementation	Funding in Wales CRoW	A world of their own? DETR Circular 04/2001	01/9/42 20 Apr 01
Countryside Rights Association		Green ink provos	99/7/49
Criminal Justice Act	Travellers camping on minor highways The CJA and minor highways	Out on a bender	95/4/19 95/2/8
Creation agreements	Condition agreements and diversions Parish council powers under s.30 HA1980	<i>R oao Hertfordshire County Council v. SoS</i> [2006]	07/1/11
Creation of highways	Law as to - summary	Local paths for local people?	11/12/177
Creation orders	Agreements for in rationalisation scheme	<i>Sinclair v. Kearsley</i> [2010] EWCA Civ 112	11/1/3
	Compensation for Creation order does not block DMMO Bridleway affected by vehicles	The road to hell ... <i>Rotherwick's Executors v. Oxfordshire County Council</i> , Estates Gazette, 15th July 2000 A tale of two councils Tyneside bridleway creations Decisions in brief	00/6/41 00/9/62 11/14/210 9 July 06 11/2/50

		Across the Nidd ...	11/5/97
	Bridleway considerably shortened by inspector	Tyneside bridleway creations	9 July 06
	Bridleway substituted by Inspector	More than was asked for	03/6/40
	Bridleway over existing footpath		21 Apr 04
	Bridleway missing link patched	Back in the loop	12/10/112
	Bridleway to replace unclassified road		8 Mar 03
	Bridleway in urban area	A contested creation	12/6/71
	Cannot extinguish existing path by creation	Back to <i>Bernstein</i>	10/6/95
	Competing route options	A missing link	04/8/66
	Compulsory purchase order for	A pragmatic solution?	99/10/76
		Human rights - but whose?	00/2/10
	Consultation - a requirement	s. 26 HA 80	16 Aug 05
	Criteria for	An acknowledged need	96/8/47
		Creating paths	84/5/20
	Defeats 'similar' DMMO to add a path	Under the carpet!	12/1/25
	Environmental objections to cycle route	On the Spa Trail	11/9/144
	Footpath, rather than bridleways	Creation v. modification	12/9/102
	Safety as criterion	Safety creation	04/2/23
		Decisions in brief	12/5/60
			16 March
	South Downs Way	Out of time	08
	Specifying suitable widths, lengths, etc, is essential.		
Crime	Crime & Extinguishment	<i>R oao MJI Farming v. SoS 2009</i>	10/2/15
Cross compliance	Single Payment Scheme 2005 onwards	Crime v anti-social behaviour	06/12/26
		<i>Horvath v. SoS for EFRA [2009].</i>	09/3/34
		That's visibly clear now!	05/2/39
		Cross compliance & visible RoW	05/7/60
Crown land	NCB not a 'government department'	Crumbling crown	11/6/104
		'Crown land' in Consistency Guidelines	10/11/181
	Coal Board land	The Crown and the Coal Board	11/4/83
	Dedication over	Crown land dedication	98/8/53
	Denial of ownership of sub-soil	Cornish lanes	05/8/73
	Leased land	Uneasy lies the crown #2	11/11/168
	Meaning of 'government department'	Uneasy lies the crown	11/1/10
	User evidence over	Crown immunity	96/8/48
Cycles	User evidence over - and railway land	A bridge too far for Railtrack	95/4/19
	Bells and passing other users	Ringin in the new	95/10/57
		Passing protocols	97/8/56
	Bridleways	Surfacing for cyclists	23 Apr 04
	Bylaw ban	Cyclists flex their muscles...Dartmoor	98/9/60
	Cycle tracks	Fast track for cycle orders	02/1/2
		Imposed on a footpath	1 May 01
		Monkey in the spokes	98/8/52
		Shopping list	98/7/44
		Still on the map	12/9/98
	Dangerous cycling	Divine intervention	92/4/16
	Defined in law	Bicycles as carriages	90/6/22
	Development of mountain bikes	Mountain biking - in middle age?	06/3/33
		On your bike to the mountains	85/2/7
		On your bike - six years on	91/6/23
	Forest Enterprise rules	On your bike	90/4/15
	History of	Tightening up	97/2/6
	Images	Some pen & ink drawings	1 Jul 04
	Mass events on rights of way	MTB 'marathons'	09/6/70
		Who's in charge?	96/9/57
	Media attitudes - bad images	Deeper in the mire	98/3/20
		Full circle?	97/10/64

	Mountain bikes excluded from proposed permissive bridleway	Warcop wake-up! Tangled up in khaki?	01/4/21 07/4/37
	Mountain bikes on Pennine Bridleway - events, and injury to a walker	Widths, walkers and wildmen	04/3/33
	National park wants to ban On Bridleways	Exmoor NP opposes ATBs	93/8/29
	On canal towpaths	Highway authority's liability	20 Apr 04
	On pedestrian facilities	Cycling the canals	96/7/42
		Peddalling back	95/6/29
		Crank v. Brooks	95/4/19
		Can a user of a public footpath be a trespasser?	12/1/11
	On RUPPs (user evidence)	Bicycles on RUPPs	95/6/30
	Prohibition on byways	Questionable parentage	97/3/14
	Racing on bridleways	Cycle racing on bridleway -BBT view	97/2/8
		Racing ahead	96/7/40
	Traffic regulation of on roads	No scorchers!	11/9/132
	User evidence by cyclists	An area of uncertainty	06/4/39
		Bicycle use & restricted byway status	12/10/109
		Cyclists establish a restricted byway	12/7/84
		Legal wrinkles	02/4/15
		On the square	12/1/23
		Rosedale update	00/8/51
		Shopping list	98/7/44
		You just couldn't make it up!	02/7/33
		<i>Whitworth v. SoS</i> [2010]EWCA Civ 1468	11/1/17
		<i>Whitworth</i> closed & bolted	11/4/79
		<i>Whitworth</i> revisited	12/8/89
	Voluntary restraint	Climb Snowdon ...	04/7/53
Culs-de-sac	Byways confirmed	An ancient public road ...	05/7/62
		Cul de sac byways	00/8/53
		BOATs (2 reports)	16 Aug 05
	Byways not confirmed	Wait for it	14 Mar 08
	Common - place of public resort	Bridleway confirmed (page4)	06/12/26
	Irrational decision?	Byway pros and cons	01/5/28
		Footpath created (dead end)	8 Mar 03
	Leading to crown land	A restricted cul-de-sac	07/2/21
	Leading to permissive route	Decisions in brief	06/4/42
	Logic denied?	Culs de sac considered	00/6/39
	Need for a public terminus	A dead end	99/1/3
		Highway disconnection	11/14/215
		One council, two roles	11/14/211
	NERC	BOAT DMMO lead to 2 cul-de-sacs	06/12/26
		A place of public resort	06/6/65
	No need for a public terminus?	There and back again	07/1/10
	Presumptions of through-routes?	A through-route or dead-end street	90/5/20
		<i>Eyre</i> power	03/7/47
		The road goes on	99/6/47
		Valuable principles	99/7/53
	Presumption of status from	No riding on dead end	97/5/28
	Unknown reason for dead end	A cul-de-sac bridleway	05/7/64
	User evidence to dead ends	No way through a Welsh wood	05/5/37
Damage	Forestry operations wreck old turnpike	He's a lumberjack...	00/2/16
Decision letters	Quality of	Decisions in brief	11/1/26
	Reasoning in decisions	In the corner?	08/6/67
Declaratory judgements	How to use and locus standi	Using declaratory judgements	96/10/61
	Cannot happen on a route that is already a public right.	Curate's egg?	07/5/54

Dedication at common law	Acceptance by highway authority on behalf of public	<i>SoS for DoE v. Baylis</i> , The Times, 16th May 2000	00/9/62
	Actions of tenants	Owner and tenant	06/3/29
	Capacity to dedicate	A charitable objection	05/3/13
		Charity owned land	9 May 01
	Common law dedication and landowners' disputes on status	Common law dedication trumps landowners' opposition	12/10/107
	Confusion in Inspectors' minds?	Covert facts?	99/6/40
	Express dedication by landowner defeats later owners	Express dedication	98/10/69
	Fiction of dedication	Fictitious dedication	06/5/52
	Rights of Way Act 1932 declaration is strong evidence	A missing link	12/10/11
	Tolerance is not intention to dedicate	An act of charity	99/8/59
Definitive Map	Base mapping altered as time passes	Indefinitely definitive	09/6/69
	Challenge to validity of original process	<i>R (Folder) v. SoS for ETR (2003)</i>	04/5/48
	Correcting long-standing error	A long-standing error	03/7/49
			16 March 08
	Councillors with an interest	A catalogue of errors	89/9/36
	Council ignores evidence of higher rights	Declaring an interest	
	Delay in determining orders and appeals	A piscatorial process	98/2/11
		But this is OK?	01/2/14
		Long wait & Why wait?	98/2/12
		Low priority - no authority?	01/3/16
	Modification malaise	01/2/12	
	What a dreadful delay!	01/3/14	
	Worth the wait?	01/3/16	
	Duty to investigate	99/4/22	
	Duty to investigate claims properly		
	Guide to for newcomers		
	Part 1	91/1/4	
	Part 2	91/2/8	
	Part 3	91/3/12	
	Part 4	91/4/16	
	Part 5	91/5/20	
	Human rights issues in DMMOs	Human rights and DMMOs	01/1/2
	Isle of Man, in the	Another country	10/4/74
	Length of case in Cumbria	Christmas bonus	01/2/9
	Limitations		16 Nov 01
	Parliamentary ombudsman on delays	Sorry you've been troubled	95/9/56
	Purpose of	Useful maxims #1	00/2/11
		Are definitive maps more elusive than official secrets?	01/3/19
	Secrecy and copyright	Not appropriate	97/5/27
Definitive statement	Tidying up by DMMO		
	Limitations	Ship ahoy!	06/2/17
	Map v. statement when in dispute	<i>Ernstbrunner v. Manchester City Council 2009</i>	10/2/13
		Map v. statement	05/5/34
		Decisions in brief	9 July 06
Delegated powers	Local Ombudsman report	Delegated responsibility	01/1/3
Deletion/downgrading			
	A very bad case	Wood Lane	86/5/17
	Assertions of 'never used' carry little weight		
	BOAT downgrade fails on basic tests	A case in point	07/5/58
	BOAT downgrade fails for want of cogent evidence.	Decisions in brief	12/1/27
	Bridleway to be deleted - fails	Byway rights preserved	12/6/72
	Another NERCA nasty	11/14/213	
	Crossing Muddy Pool	10/7/131	
	Marrick mix-up	11/6/107	

	Bridleway to be downgraded to FP.	Errors on trial	07/3/35
		Look who's back!	00/9/59
		Not a pack horse route	08/2/24
		The way to the sands	96/3/21
		YDNPA declares independence!	99/6/41
	Bridleway to FP - cogency of proof	An unusable bridleway	10/3/47
		Jinking round the privy	97/2/11
		Lack of cogency	09/5/63
		No joy for bridle rights	06/1/16
		Not new, not substantial, not cogent	10/4/72
		Only new will do	95/5/24
		Presumption of correctness	11/9/146
		Red faces in Redruth	95/9/52
		Same again, but more so	11/14/210
		Status quo - or nearly so	95/9/53
	Burdens and presumptions	An 'initial presumption' overturned	05/7/64
		<i>Simms & Burrows</i> in practice	95/7/37
		<i>Burrows</i>	89/8/32
		<i>Rubenstein</i> considered	88/2/8
		Modification on request	99/7/53
	Dedication defeats downgrading order		
	Drafting error can be 'discovery of evidence'.	<i>Kotarski v. SoS</i> [2010] EWHC 1036 (Admin)	11/1/4
	Expediency is not enough grounds	Necessary but not expedient	99/7/53
	Footpath deleted	<i>Trevelyan</i> over DoE Circular	06/12/26
	Footpath obstructed in 1901	Not cogent enough	04/8/67
	Interference by landowner on site visit	Brief encounter	95/9/51
	<i>Kent CoCo</i> case - court imposes limit	Limit on deletions	95/1/3
	Original definitive map process cannot be reopened	Deletions guidance issued	08/2/13
	Special review error	A BOAT by mistake	12/10/112
	Tests to be applied	Alternative burdens	03/10/75
		Decisions in brief	12/8/93
	 Further thoughts	08/3/28
		High hurdles	09/3/37
		Losing the plot?	09/3/38
		Routes of appeal	04/1/13
		How dare you, Sir!	96/7/41
	Weight of evidence		
Designations of land	Tranquillity mapping	Tranquil thoughts	04/5/45
DETR/defra/DTLR	Conflicting guidance on highway status?	Policy - or fact and law?	99/5/31
	Delays	Nice & easy does it	97/5/25
	Government Office for Region - approach	Condition & status	98/1/2
	I have seen the future...		98/2/12
	Guidance notes	Note this guidance	97/6/34
	How it works	The work of the Countryside Div.	90/3/11
		The work of the Rural Affairs Dir.	97/4/22
	Legislative proposals	Final consultation - July 99	99/8/63
	Planning Inspectorate: House of Commons Environment C'ttee report 1999-2000		
Development	Affecting rights of way	Could do better	00/8/50
	Bypass - built over bridleway	Rights of way lost to development	82/7/28
	Good practice for planners and developers	Bypass does not destroy rights	03/9/65
	Part-completion and confirmation of orders	REPORT	99/3/18
Digital Mapping		Part-completed development ...	03/10/76
Disabled access	GPS	Reconciling maps, new & old	16 Nov 03
	As a factor in diversion cases	Disabled access	99/1/3

Discovery of evidence	CoAg guide published	Disabled access	06/1/2
Diversion	Commonsense reasons	Two unconfirmed bridleway routes	12/5/58
	Affecting rights of nearby property?	Common sense comes unstuck	95/10/60
		Householders' rights at risk	95/5/24
		Bagshot bagged?	11/4/82
		Watching brief	11/13/198
	Alternative route not good enough	Diversion difficulties	03/4/29
	Ancient status/character defeats diversion	A sense of history	04/5/47
	Badgers in path	Considerations of expediency	10/4/71
		<i>R (Connaughton) v. West Dorset District Council</i>	
	'Breaking' the route at road crossings	(2002)	04/7/60
	Bridge (missing) is a temporary circumstance	Inconvenient crossings	10/1/16
	Bridleway - alternative is unsuitable	Inappropriate diversions	06/4/41
	Bridleway ford issues	Inconvenient crossings	10/1/16
	Bridleway on to road	A needless decision?	98/9/62
		Decisions in brief	10/3/54
	Burden of proof	Showing an interest	96/4/26
	Can divert on to existing path	<i>Bernstein</i> revisited	07/1/16
	Can divert on to a claimed path	Benefit of the doubt	07/3/33
		<i>De facto</i> diversions become <i>de jure</i>	12/3/41
		Diversion - or stopping up?	12/5/57
		<i>R v. Lake District SPB ex p. Bernstein</i> , 29th	
	Cannot divert on to existing path	January 1982	01/3/20
		Back to <i>Bernstein</i>	10/6/95
		<i>Bernstein</i> considered	10/1/5
		Beds takes the wrong route	07/3/33
	Cannot extinguish rights with a s.119		
	Challenging diversion by challenging underlying definitive map	<i>Doherty v. SoS & Bedfordshire CoCo</i> [2005]	06/5/57
	Change of circumstances changes outcome		
	Conditional on DMMO, must wait for DMMO to be confirmed.	Second time lucky	11/7/114
	Conservation reasons	Thundridge and enlightening	12/1/19
	Conflicting Inspectors' criteria	Inappropriate diversions	06/4/41
	'Convenience' - meaning of	When I get to the border	98/4/28
	Costs not a valid criterion	Enjoyment and convenience	02/10/57
	Council able to shelve objected order	Under pressure	92/1/1
	Council departments oppose each other	<i>R (Hargrave) v. Stroud District Council</i> [2002]	02/6/29
	Creation & extinguishment not valid	A house divided	99/2/8
		Smoutel's saga	11/10/157
		No grounds for re-creation	11/10/162
	Creation is not diversion	Diversion by creation	09/3/29
		Out of order	00/6/42
		The wrong way	09/6/73
	Creation agreement linking- limitations	Agreements not accountable	04/9/73
	Criteria: between different acts	Divertingly different	03/9/64
	Cross field can be better	A reasonable proportion	98/9/63
		The wrong package	97/5/28
	Crossfield to headland - public interests	Broad approval	98/1/4
		Degrees of inconvenience	99/5/34
		Not so obvious	98/5/32
		Walk with the animals	99/2/8
	Danger of route	Dangerous corner	07/3/32
		The wrong approach	97/5/27
	Disability concerns dismissed	Diversion disputed	09/2/19
	Dogs as reason to divert	Running dogs & round robins	98/1/5
	Electric fence persuades	An acceptable limitation	00/6/43

'Enjoyment' - meaning of	Enjoyment and convenience	02/10/57
	Use and enjoy	04/9/73
Existing rights on new line	An end to order	97/5/27
Expediency and enjoyment criteria	An inexpedient package	03/7/48
	Considerations of expediency	10/4/71
		10 Nov. 2007
	Decisions in brief	2007
	Enjoyment	96/4/25
	Expediency ignored?	10/10/159
	Insufficient interest	98-7-41
	A link with the past	99/5/33
Extent, before it becomes extinguishment	Blaydon blip	02/8/46
	Not the way to do it	98/1/5
	Not extinguishment	1 May 01
Extinguishing 2 paths; creating just one	Decisions in brief	08/6/76
Failure to reinstate as factor	Temporary circumstances	98/3/18
Farm safety is a material factor	The safety factor	94/8/31
Fewer paths created to replace	Six to two on?	02/5/18
Footpath diverted solely in order to become a bridleway	Divert to create?	07/6/66
Future proofing the new route	An eye to the future	10/5/88
Higher rights over route. Diversion not confirmed	An inexpedient diversion	30 May 09
Higher rights alleged over route. Diversion confirmed	Decision in brief	10/8/152
		10 Nov. 2007
History of route	Decisions in brief	2007
	Historical associations	04/8/65
	An historic bridleway	12/2/35
Houses built over path	Open house	96/8/49
Horse use of FP as a reason against	Shared use	97/4/20
Human rights issue of path thro' pub	To the bar (as of right)	02/5/21
In the magistrates' court	Done and seen to be done	93/3/12
Increased distance on new route	Going too far	95/10/6
Interdependency defeats multi-path order	A package falls apart	05/6/49
Interests of public & landowner - joint.	Rogue mail?	08/5/52
Knowledge of path when buying property does not bat a later diversion.	Decisions in brief	12/2/38
Landowners' interests - dressing up as public interest	Decisions in brief	06/5/56
Landowners' interests - meaning of	Differing interests	04/9/74
Landowners' interests - remoteness	Remote interest	05/1/3
Linking extin. & cre. orders to divert	Another way round	97/5/28
Length of new route an issue	Specifying limitations	08/6/72
Maintenance - cannot put the burden on landowners	Maintenance problems	07/3/33
Modification of order renders incapable of confirmation	A Catch 22 order	07/2/20
Multiple termini discussed	Necessary questions	13 May 03
'Necessary' as a test		98/6/36
No discretion to refuse application for order	Limitation of powers	00/2/12
Objection as a 'bargaining tool'	A hard bargain	07/1/5
Obstructed route: view of equestrians	Better than nothing?	08/4/45
Obstructed route not necessarily worse	Basis of comparison	00/4/25
Obstructed route - order rejected	Inconvenient, unenjoyable ...	02/10/63
On the coming into effect of the Highway Act 1773 (s.19)	<i>Waite v. Smith</i> (1799)	04/1/7

On to already-used path	Conclusive unless shown otherwise	00/1/5
	Technical hitch	95/10/60
	The drawing board beckons	02/8/47
Order with objections need not be sent to SoS - council has discretion to abandon	R (<i>Hargrave</i>) v. <i>Stroud District Council</i> , 7th December 2001	02/1/4
Order quashed - notices not posted.	Tail end	99/5/31
Order refused on ground of owner objecting to order in his own favour	Technical hitches	01/3/17
Pre-existing target route?	Hitcham hitch	04/10/83
Privacy of garden	Decisions in brief	08/4/48
	Privacy & security	98/8/54
Private rights not diverted by order?	Deep-rooted rights	99/5/32
Private right benefit too remote	Diversion difficulties	03/4/29
Public enjoyment criteria	Field-edge limitations	96/10/62
	Riverside enjoyment	97/1/4
	The pleasure principle	98/3/19
	What did Horace say?	96/4/25
Public interest (and width issues)	A change of view	09/5/63
	A material factor	08/4/47
	Disputed diversions	07/4/44
	Expediency and enjoyment criteria	09/4/51
	Not much public interest	08/1/8
	Out of the ordinary	09/1/7
Public repair liability converted to private by diversion		30 May 2009
Public perceptions of value	A difference in approach	2009
Public safety as criterion	Law in action	96/6/36
Rail crossing	Limits to remit	98/6/36
	Longer path, shorter crossing	00/6/41
	Safer by road?	02/9/50
Rationalisation schemes	Grounds for extinguishment	02/10/62
	Shades of Ombersley	95/10/61
	Rat. in Toddington & Chalgrave	93/10/39
	The dangers of re-organisation...	93/2/8
Retaining existing right in s.116 diversion	Two-for-one offer ends	10/1/2
Revocation of order	Revocation and rejection	03/6/38
Safety criteria	A hazardous crossing	10/3/48
	A subjective balance	97/9/59
	Balance of risk	99/6/42
	Decisions in brief	09/3/38
	Decisions in brief	11/2/50
	Frightening the horses	02/3/12
	Safety catch	98/2/13
Section 119- procedures	S119 - is it fair?	92/5/20
Security criteria	An Englishman's home	94/9/28
	An inspector inspected	95/8/43
	Country houses at risk	97/7/44
	Don't cry for him	96/8/47
	Field-edge limitations	96/10/62
	More questions of safety	96/4/26
	Out of school	98/9/63
	Risk of crime	96/5/30
	Storm in a duckpond	00/3/18
	Whose privacy is it anyway?	95/9/51
Shorter route means loss of enjoyment	Applying the criteria	96/9/56
Survey of users	... and statistics	97/7/45
Surface condition as criterion	More than my job's worth	98/1/5
TCPA criteria	A narrow margin	97/9/58
	No development, no order	95/10/60

		Unnecessary & inapplicable	96/8/49
	TCPA diversion - scope of consent		
	Technical fault in order	Inadequate permission	95/10/61
		Technical hitch	97/2/11
	TCPA - establishing a need to divert	A contrived closure	95/9/52
	TCPA - path not on def. map	Just in case	96/4/26
	Temporary circumstances affecting current route	Advice to Inspectors ...	07/6/66
		Decisions in brief	2 June 08
	Termination points	Salopian hiccups	94/8/31
	Uncertainty of status vitiates order	Wrong way at Whitnash	02/5/21
	Valid criteria only	0% interest	95/10/61
	Who may apply for an order?	Egregious error	99/6/39
	Width of new route is important	A commodious width	98/5/32
		Agricultural necessity	99/3/15
		As another fourteen years slip by	08/5/56
	Wrong provision used	A calendar of errors	04/7/54
Duties and powers	Of a highway authority	A duty or a power	91/10/40
		No duty to assert and protect?	81/8/32
		Write it big...	98/9/61
Drafting errors on DMS	Correction by order	Errors at the edges	08/2/23
Drove roads		A 'public drift road'.	10/3/44
		A 'public drift road' revisited	11/10/160
	Evidence from place names	Lost and Found	96/6/37
	Legal position	Pack & Prime - Drift & Drove	99/5/36
	The Hambleton Drove road	A northern ridgeway?	81/3/11
	Set out in inclosure award	Driftway rights	00/6/43
Economics of leisure routes		Cashing in on the RoW network #1	02/9/52
		Cashing in on the RoW network #2	02/10/58
		Cashing in on the RoW network #3	03/1/4
			B&B
	'Improving Rights of Way in England & Wales' - defra report, 2000	'An Economic Appraisal of the Proposals'	database
		Recreational economics	04/6/49
	Do the benefits of recreational routes outweigh disadvantages?	Pennine Bridleway update?	98/5/33
Electric fences	Held to be undesirable	Frightening the horses	07/3/34
Electrically assisted vehicles	EPV on highways bill	Souped-up Segways?	11/4/78
Environment	Impact/sustainability report	Impact of leisure activities	95/8/46
C'tee			
Environmental aspect of path dedication	EA tries to stop user evidence dedication	No environmental veto	02/2/8
Equestrian facilities	'Clustered' bridleways	Horse trods	05/4/30
	Local bridleways	Thinking small, by design	05/4/30
Erosion and loss of RoW	A right of way can move as land is lost	A riverside right retained	99/5/33
		Erosion diversion	01/6/31
		Maisemore revisited	05/6/50
		R v. Aldborough [1853]	04/3/28
Evidence	Admissibility at Pls	Same game, different rules	96/8/45
	Aggregation of evidence concludes DMMO	Consistent evidence	98/8/55
	Arbitration award as evidence	Arbitration upheld	95/10/61
	Balance of probabilities examined	A delicate balance	96/3/19
		Bad news, welcome views	95/10/60

	Fragile, strong and plain	00/1/5
Balance of probabilities not discharged	An even balance	96/4/26
	Barrow 2: unfavourable balance	98/7/41
	End of a long Barrow story	02/5/21
Balance of probabilities satisfied	Balancing act	99/9/71
	Compelling cumulation	00/4/25
	Sifting the evidence	96/3/19
	Piggy Lane restored	98/1/6
Boundary lines refused as evidence	Bordering on the amazing	98/4/28
Bridleways - presumed dedication	Proving bridleways -3	95/7/36
Burrows v SoS	User evidence	1 May 04
Capacity to dedicate	Obstacles to dedication	96/5/30
	Settlement and presumption	95/9/51
Case law at inquiries	It's up to you!	99/1/2
Circumstantial evidence in orders	A close-run thing	08/5/62
Cogent DMMO evid. ignored by HA	Local colour	96/1/4
Cogent proof needed to delete	Clear & cogent proof needed	97/8/51
Common sense as evidence?	Common sense	90/9/34
	Common sense & logic	03/4/26
Conclusive -meaning of	A reasonable inference	97/6/36
Copyright at inquiries	More honor'd in the breach than	97/4/19
Creation order as material consideration	An holistic approach	10/10/175
Cumulative evidence shows the picture	An evolutionary pattern	99/4/24
Discovery of new evidence	<i>R v. SoS for DoE ex p. Stewart</i>	79/2/8
	Discovery of evidence	97/4/20
	Nutley re-think	98/5/32
	Two unconfirmed bridleway routes	12/5/58
Evidence not available to the Inspector	Strategic omissions	04/2/21
Formal agreements - document needed	Section 327 agreements	97/6/38
High Court challenge to Inspector	Grim fairey tale	96/3/1
Highway board records in DMMO	Then and now	98/8/54
Historical use of the way	Imagining the past	97/2/10
	Highway usage	97/5/29
	A pious decision	97/8/51
Inspector's mistake as to user evidence	Some mistake, surely?	95/1/4
Intention not to dedicate	<i>Cotton & Cotton v. SoS and Surrey CoCo [1997]</i>	00/6/44
	Ignorance no excuse	02/6/30
	Intention not to dedicate	98/10/70
	Kentish challenges	01/3/18
	Negating intention to dedicate	00/3/19
	<i>R v. SoS for DETR ex p Dorset CoCo</i>	00/2/14
Legal memory - date of	What did they ever do for us?	01/5/26
	A Roman footpath	01/4/23
Magistrates' court finding rejected	An unconvincing conviction	04/2/21
Maintenance as evidence of public status	Rights and responsibilities	09/2/21
Maps provide evidence of reputation	An 'old road'	2 June 08
Minute Books	Minute Book Evidence	06/12/26
New evidence - Glatton PI #3	Glatton roundabout	96/6/36
New evidence at PIs	Critical analysis	96/1/5
	Occupation roads and seconds PIs	96/10/62
New evidence - Essex	About turn	91/7/25
Period of use long ago	An unsafe foundation	96/1/5
Petition as evidence of reputation	Petition as evidence	95/9/53
Physical nature of the path	Physical and map evidence	96/5/30
Preparation of	Prep. and presentation	94/8/32
	part 1	
	part 2	94/7/28
Presumption on through-route status	More than expected	96/3/20
Public acceptance required	The onus of proof	97/6/38
Railway plan evidence	Railway plan loophole	97/8/51

	Reasonably alleged to subsist - SoS view of test	Suffolk gets another punch	02/6/26
	Reasonably alleged to subsist confirms BOAT order	Byway pros and cons	01/5/28
	Record of way as 'cart road'	A cart road	98/3/19
	Reputation	Decisions in brief	09/2/22
		Still off the rails?	97/8/52
		Definitively wrong	97/7/44
		God and angels	09/2/13
	'Road' in old docs not evidence of public road	Too bad about 'Bad Road'	03/7/48
	Sales plans	Convincing catalogues	99/8/59
	Second PI -view of highway authority	No BOAT, no comment	96/4/25
	Second Pls/ new evidence	Re-assessing evidence	97/3/16
		Redressing the balance	97/6/38
		Up for grabs	96/8/45
		What's new	96/6/36
	Status indication by reputation	Public knowledge	96/9/56
	Sub judice - can PI evidence be?	Under judicial consideration	97/3/14
	Surface as evidence of status	Rede again	96/5/31
	To add -put in by parish council	Putting it on the map	94/8/30
		Bridleway Order confirmed as BOAT on the evidence	4 Mar 06
	Unusual sort of road	M'bikes on a Derbyshire bridleway	93/9/35
	Using motorcycles on a bridleway	Piper Lane	94/1/4
		Questionable utility	00/2/9
Extinguishment (& downgrading)	A correct approach	<i>Trevelyan v. SoS</i> - Feb 01	27 Apr 01
	Assessing need, post-order	Publicising by extinguishment	97/3/15
		The deletion and downgrading of public rights of way	07/4/46
	Background & correct test: an overview	Lack of substance	03/4/29
	Balance of evidence test not satisfied	Better off extinguished	01/4/24
	'Benefit' test applied by Inspector		1 May 01
	Bridleway added then extinguished		
	Bridleway creation makes footpaths unnecessary	Safer and shorter	9 July 06
	By erosion	Not eroded but obstructed	97/2/10
	Condition of bridleways no reason to stop-up	Sheffield cuttled.	12/1/20
	Conditional creation agreement fails	A dead-end bridleway survives	10/2/24
	Coupled conditions and creation agreement	Groundless fears	02/4/16
	Crime - 118(B) HA 80	Extinguishment to prevent crime	06/12/26
	Criteria for	Alternative routes	91/9/34
		Gone for ever	97/6/39
	DMMO to extinguish?	Not via diversion DMMO	1 May 01
	'Duplicate route' after DMMO	Back to square one?	9 July 06
	Expediency test applied	Principle v. practicality	12/2/34
	Flood defences - alternative route provided	Decisions in brief	11/13/197
	Heritage factors outweigh	Hospital admission	00/6/41
	Inspector's decision upheld	<i>R oao Macintosh v SoS</i> [2006]	06/6/70
	Inspector's decision upheld - just	<i>Thould v. Secretary of State</i> , 7 July 2006	3 Sep 06
	Kent case an element in downgrading order	Doubts but no deletion	07/4/43
	Lack of use as ground to close?	Trying it on?	02/5/17
	Litter held to be a material factor	Decisions in brief	11/8/126
	Non-definitive rights	Extinguishing non-def. Rights	97/8/51
	Not needed test	Not 'not needed'	98/10/70
		Needed by the public	17 Oct 03

	Obstructed by illegal structures	A very nasty pasty	01/1/4
	Obstruction for years not adequate	Forgotten, but not gone	03/1/8
	Order rejected even though RA approves	A useful water splash	02/6/30
	Order rejected for want of qualifying new evidence	Out of order	08/4/46
	Original inclusion in def. map is not strong evidence	<i>R v. National Assembly for Wales ex p Robinson</i> , 11th April 2000	00/9/62
		<i>Trevelyan v. SoS for DETR</i>	00/7/48
	Public interest to be balanced	Balance of advantage	01/2/11
		Unacceptable extinguishments	04/6/51
	Safety grounds - alleged	Safety catch	05/2/8
	Schedule 14 directed order fails	Bridle rights survive	05/8/75
	Security grounds - alleged	Downgrading denied	09/5/61
	Section 116 HA80 criteria	Urban deprivation	07/6/68
	Site visit and 'applicant'	You'll be lucky!	10/11/183
	Special extinguishment order plan requirements	Indicating convenience	10/1/2
	Statutory notices -position	Two yards too far!	97/10/62
	TCPA order to extinguish	Planning for closure	98/1/5
	Traffic a reason to keep the path	Public paths public policy	99/10/77
	Unnecessary?	No error	96/10/62
	Vandalism as reason	Balance of expediency	00/2/13
		(ditto - in error)	00/4/25
		Planned out	98/1/5
		Public need is paramount	95/10/60
		S.118 - future use	89/6/24
		Stopping up crime	99/1/4
		UCRs at risk	90/8/29
		You'd think they'd know better	97/1/2
	Watercourses as impassable obstruction	Bridging the gaps	01/3/17
Finance Act 1910	Explanation for deduction - not RoW	Gentleman's agreement	07/4/41
	Value of as evidence	1909-10 Finance Act maps	89/7/28
		1909-10 Finance Act maps	92/5/18
		Decisions in brief	09/2/22
		Especially convincing	96/5/29
		Field Book evidence	97/5/27
			08/6/71
		Finance Act and tithe map evidence	01/1/6
(see BB Extra Of 16 Nov 01 for counter view)		Finance Act evidence fails	01/10/47
		Finance Act records and private rights	04/3/35
		Game, set and match?	06/2/21
		Highways & 1909-10 FA maps	92/1/4
		Land duty maps	88/9/33
		Long-term finances	02/3/11
		Ordnance Survey v. Finance Act	03/1/7
		Proves bridleway	17 Oct 03
		'Public road' notation on working copies	06/4/46
		Records update	90/4/14
		Reluctant heroes	96/5/29
		Right of way or right of user?	03/6/40
		<i>Robinson Webster (Holdings) Ltd v. Colin and Sandra Agombar</i> ,	30 Apr 01
		Sinister shift?	08/4/39
		Something wrong surely?	98/2/10
		The only reasonable inference	03/6/41
		Valuation value	99/1/3
		Wall to wall	02/5/22
		Weight of evidence	02/10/61

Firearms	Guns on the highway	Firearms on highways	83/1/4
Foot and mouth disease	Diversions in anticipation	F&MD fallout	06/2/14
Fords	Repair of / diversion	Sad Smoutel	06/6/71
		Smoutel's aga	11/10/157
	Standards of	Ford imperfect	11/14/214
Forestry land	User evidence over	A power to dedicate?	95/9/51
		Crown immunity	96/8/48
		Freedom to roam	97/4/20
		Problem of dedication	96/8/48
Gates	As a nuisance	<i>Mear v. Cambridgeshire CoCo</i> [2006]	06/6/70
		Pratt & Mackenzie	91/8/30
	Bridlegates - rights, etc	Bridlegates - the right to gate	85/1/4
		Tightening up on gates	98/10/72
	British Standard BS 5709 & limitations		12 Jan 08
		Decisions in brief	2 June 08
		Specifying limitations	08/6/72
		Limitation by gates	08/6/73
		Duty discharged	08/6/74
		Decisions in brief	08/6/75
		Decisions in brief	08/6/76
		Decisions in brief	09/3/39
		Decisions in brief	12/3/45
		Public interests, optional standards	09/3/36
		Very welcome guidance	10/10/158
		Watching brief	09/2/24
		Watching brief	11/3/76
	Difficulties with	A practical and legal nightmare	80/2/8
	District Auditor comment	Well, that's clear then... Maybe	97/2/6
		On the latch	97/2/6
	Erection by council	Shutting the gate?	96/8/45
		Speaking of gates....	96/3/18
	LARA's ideas for gates on minor roads	More on gates...	99/4/25
	Not to be recorded on DMS	Decisions in brief	11/10/164
	Clean Neighbourhoods & Environment Act 2005	Gating orders ...	06/4/47
Gating Orders	Survey of BOAT claims 2005	The truth is out there ...	05/6/46
GLEAM	Obstructions and danger	Across the fairway	98/9/64
Golf courses		Decisions in brief (3 cases)	11/12/183
		Negligence on the links	86/7/28
Good practice guides	Advice to planners, developers, etc.	REPORT	99/3/18
	Highway authority's procedure manual	REPORT	99/4/26
Gradients	Steepness of roads as evidence	Ride a cock horse	97/3/17
Greenways	Urban & traffic-free "roads"	Greenways	92/7/27
Handover maps (1929)	Repair implications of showing or not	1929 and all that	02/3/10
Headland paths	Cross-field advantages	Putting it across	96/8/48
	Cross-field diversion	More Mellor	95/8/43
	Enjoyment as criterion to divert	If- not "when"!	96/1/5
	Payment schemes	Field-edge payments	96/8/44
Hearings	Hearing procedures	A case study	07/3/30
		Hearing better	08/2/16
		Hearing difficulties	98/4/25
		Helpful hearings	99/8/59
Health benefits	Use of in practice	Count the benefits	10/8/133
Hedges and walls	Declaratory judgement to protect	Protecting inclosure hedgerows	97/4/22
	Hedgerow protection - editorial	Using declaratory judgements	96/10/61
		Hedging the bets	95/3/9
		We stand corrected	98/4/26

	Hedgerow orders	Strict criterion	98/9/65
	Roadside inclosure hedges	Roadside hedges protected	08/2/15
Helicopters	Nuisance from	Domestic helipads	11/7/111
Highway boards	Surveys by	Highway board surveys	88/8/32
History of Roads	26 Geo. II	Petition	9 Apr 01
	Archeological techniques	Looking to our routes	84/9/34
	Blackstone Edge Long Causeway	History & condition	06/1/10
	Blackstone Edge - revisited	A follow up piece	6 Feb 06
	Kerry Ridgeway		07/2/18
	Last 100 years	Centennial wisdom	05/8/72
	Last 200 years		
	Our roads.... part 1		89/6/23
	Our roads.... part 2		88/6/23
	Lost roads	How many neglected....	
	Mile marker signposts on unsealed roads.	History	10/10/157
	Milestones & markers	Missing guide stone	83/6/23
	Origins of roads	Tracing routes	80/7/27
		A story in an address	98/4/27
	Packhorses used into the 1950s.	Packmen and drovers.	12/1/5
	Rebecca Riots	Action direct	98/9/65
	Roman road rediscovered.	Kex Gill, Blubberhouses Moor ...	10/10/161
		Daughters of 'Becca	07/1/8
	Royal roads - chimini regales	It's not just history	98/1/3
	Sunken roads	Gilbert White's lanes	97/4/21
	Surnames from roads	Roads in surnames	97/2/12
	The Old Scotch Road		10/6/109
	The Road to Flodden Field		11/8/120
	Thief Street - in fact and fiction		11/1/11
	Tramps	An early Internet?	01/9/42
	Vehicular use 2000 years ago	Chausee deforme	97/6/35
	Roads - a struggle to have recorded	Fishlake Green Lanes	2006-3-1
	Birdlip Hill	An extract from a book of 1905	9 Jan 06
Human rights	As an objection to an order	Rights - vehicular and human	01/9/43
		Decisions in brief	10/10/177
		Euro' bird directives & DMMOs	05/6/53
	BB Extra	Euro' bird directives & DMMOs	23 Dec 05
			Not well founded
	Not a basis for objection		16 Nov 01
Inclosure awards	Act complied with?	Landowner considerations	
	Acts in conflict?	A pre-1801 inclosure award	07/1/4
	Acys necessary to validate awards?	Unfinished business	01/6/32
	Andrews case issues	There's a rabbit away ...	08/5/51
		Andrews again	07/2/21
		Andrews arguments	05/4/29
		An invalid award	05/7/63
		Inclosure outcomes	05/3/16
		Invalid reasoning (letter)	06/2/20
	Awarded bridle road	An inclosure-awarded bridleway	09/4/53
	Awarded Carriage Road	Not practical to show as BOAT	06/12/26
	Basic guidance on	Enclosure award roads - part 1	88/1/4
		Enclosure award roads - part 2	88/3/12
	BOAT - evidence of	Award indicates BOAT	16 Aug 05
	By agreement	A questionable agreement	96/8/47
	<i>Cubitt v. Maxse</i> argument rejected	Byways galore	03/10/71
	Evidence rejected	No kidding	11/12/180
	Footpaths - able to set out?	A public foot road	05/8/75
		<i>Andrews and Dunlop</i> revisited	99/5/33
		Commissioners under scrutiny	00/7/47
		Ultra vires paths	00/6/42

	'General Act' of 1845	An ancient bridle road	02/5/20
		Another inclosure-awarded restricted byway	09/1/6
		End of a long Barrow story	02/5/21
		Roads and the 1845 Inclosure Act	02/5/22
	Powers of commissioners re footpaths	Map interpretation	97/5/29
	Pre-existing highways	Parliamentary inclosure and ... #1	04/2/16
		Parliamentary inclosure and ... #2	04/3/31
		Parliamentary inclosure and ... #3	04/4/42
	Private award confirms BOAT	A private award	01/4/24
	Private roads	Inclosure award paths	97/6/37
	Private road set out <i>intra vires</i>	Judging the commissioners	00/6/41
	'Public horse road'	A public horse and footway ...	06/5/53
	'Public right of road'	Commissioners under scrutiny	00/7/47
	Public watering hole	Extraterrestrial intervention?	07/6/71
	Public way not validly awarded.	Not fatally <i>ultra vires</i>	11/11/168
	Who "owns" the road?	Ownership of awarded highways	86/4/16
	Width of road as per award	Decisions in brief	30 May 09
		Directory or mandatory?	12/4/51
Judicial review	Types of order and grounds for	The judicial review	85/3/12
	Use against order-making authority	Clash of the Titans	97/1/2
	Use to frighten environmental activists	Slapping down (leader)	97/1/1
Jus spatiandi	Equestrian user	Bridleway not created	06/12/26
Justices			
certificates	Absence of cert. not fatal to status	Persistence rewarded	96/3/19
	Justices' stop-up certificate from 1792	Common Road & common sense	99/5/34
King's Highway	Meaning of		
	Gradients, steps and a...		97/5/30
	King's highway		97/6/35
LAFs	Value of.	I'm sorry, I haven't a clue	11/7/109
Land registry	Use of in RoW cases	Searching the land registry	91/6/24
		Open to question?	91/3/9
Land use	Policies and practice		
	Land use- part 1		89/7/27
	Land use- part 2		89/8/31
	Set-aside	Access gets set aside	89/7/25
Law source			
materials	1744 law book extracts	A new law dictionary - Giles Jacob	99/10/81
Lawful use of			
highway	What can roads be used for?	Lawful use of the highway	99/7/51
Legal events	Oldest order?	A legal event	91/10/37
	Suggestions for the next 'Rights of Way		
Legislative change?	Act'	The next act	06/4/43
Liability for injury			
on dangerous		<i>Ali v. City of Bradford MBC</i> [2010] EWCA Civ	
paths		1282.	11/3/56
		<i>Kane v. New Forest District Council</i> [2001] 3 All	
		IER 914	02/1/4
Limitations	Article looking at what is a 'limitation'	Limitations and conditions	07/5/60
	Boatyard as occasional limitation	Decisions in brief	11/12/182
	Bollards in bridleway	Watching brief	12/4/53
	Bridge is a limitation	Appropriate, but not necessary	09/1/6
	Bridge is not a limitation - is itself the		
	highway	Decisions in brief	07/4/45
			16 March
		Decision in brief	08
		Decision in brief	11/6/108
		Watching brief	11/8/127
	Gap removed from order	Mind the gap	12/7/81
	Gap specified properly as to location	Mind the gap	12/7/81
	Gates as limitations		14 Mar 08

		Later limitations	12/5/64
		Lessons in limitation	07/6/72
		No conspiracy (or gate)	14 Mar 08
	Grass strip as limitation	Decisions in brief	11/9/148
	Maintenance burden as limitation	Decisions in brief	08/4/48
	Need for adequate precision in order	Decisions in brief	07/5/59
	'New' barrier cannot be a limitation	Decisions in brief	07/3/36
	'New' barrier cannot be a limitation	Decisions in brief	07/4/45
	'New' barrier cannot be a limitation	Unchallenged evidence	12/1/26
	Present at start of user period - essential.	Decisions in brief	12/10/114
	Present at start of user period - not essential.	Decisions in brief	12/10/114
	Set-aside land is not a limitation	Decisions in brief	11/1/26
	Sleeper bridge not limitation	Decisions in brief	14 Mar 08
	Waymarks not limitation	Decisions in brief	14 Mar 08
		Decisions in brief	08/4/48
List of streets	Validity of map as a s.36(6) list	Decisions in brief	11/8/126
		<i>Fortune & Oths v. Wiltshire Council</i> (2010)	11/3/57
		<i>Fortune and the list of streets</i>	11/10/161
Litter on paths	Using the EPA1990 to enforce clean-up	A year in North Tyneside	05/7/57
Maintenance & repair	Bridleway ordered to be repaired	<i>Kind v. Gateshead Council</i> , 7th November 2000	01/1/8
	Compensation - injury caused by HA	<i>Gorringe v. Calderdale MBC</i> [2004]	04/6/52
		<i>Hennelly v. Worcestershire CC</i> (2004)	04/5/48
	Contract culture	Contract bridge	94/1/3
		Tender(ing) persuasion	93/8/29
		CCT- looming threat....?	93/5/17&19
		<i>Seymour v. North Yorkshire CoCo</i> , 10th November 2000	01/3/20
	Costs in the Crown Court	Glorious mud	10/7/115
	Cost of fixing bridleway	In, out, in and out, of court	02/8/43
	Court order ignored	Out of court	02/8/43
		Weedley words	02/6/28
	Duties of highway authority	Duty to repair	88/9/36
		<i>Sorensen v. Cheshire Co Co</i>	80/1/4
	Going to the justices	A nest of hornets	88/7/27
		Hornet: still buzzing	08/6/68
		In the hornets' nest	92/4/15
		Taking up the cudgels	91/4/15
		<i>Goodes v. East Sussex CoCo</i> , The Independent	
	Ice and snow?	Law Reports, 20th June 2000	00/9/63
	List of 'private repair' public roads	Dormer Green Lane ...	07/1/9
	Old law book	Law Related to Highways (Glen)	1 May 01
	Records as evidence of status	Methusalem's stretch	03/3/23
	Section 56 HA1980 orders	A lateral approach?	98/3/16
		An expensive outcome	00/8/52
		A stern judicial rebuke	04/2/11
		Birley bottomed	09/1/4
		Cliffe again, again	00/4/24
		Done in a flash!	01/3/15
		<i>Kind v. NYCC</i> , June 2000	00/8/55
		Repair - expectation	20 Apr 01
		Roman Bridge renewed	09/1/4
		Wrekin havoc	99/7/50
		Wrekin (follow up)	03/1/2
	Section 56 HA1980 orders are independent of DMMO processes	The merits of proceedings under s.56 of the Highways Act 1980	01/1/3
	Seeking court orders to require repair	The use of s.56 HA1980	93/5/20

		Paying for repairs	90/7/28
	Standards and powers	Economic assessment #2	98/6/37
		Economic assessment #3	98/6/37
		Legal position	89/5/20
		The slippery slope	86/5/20
	Standard Spending Assessment explained	Report	00/10/72
	Stepping stones reinstated	LETTERS	210/7/116
	TRO against equestrians no defence	Reopening Roman Bridge	07/5/55
	Wall stone can be used to repair footpaths (only)	Know your place in society.	05/8/70
Management initiatives	A personal view on management	Continuing the access debate pt1	88/4/15
		Continuing the access debate pt2	88/5/19
	Alderney	Island hopping	07/3/31
	America	Back country byways	91/9/35
	Cambrian Mountain Project	Bold in Wales	08/5/50
	Cambridgeshiregreen lanes	81/8/30
	Gosling Report revisited	Forty years on	08/5/58
	Hampshire	Positive management	92/8/32
	Hertfordshirerights of way management	83/9/35
	Kent	Public paths partnership	87/3/11
	Lake District National Park	Hierarchy of Trail Routes	01/9/44
		The right track	05/4/26
	Lincolnshire	Green lanes in Lincolnshire	94/9/35
		A re-organised county council	87/4/15
	Northumberland	Week in the life of a RoW officer	91/1/3
	North Yorkshire	NYT Management Advisory Group	2 Mar 06
	Nottinghamshire	Path review	83/5/19
	Shropshire	A modus operandi	86/2/8
	Snowdonia	Climb Snowdon ...	04/7/53
	South Hams District -Strategy	An object lesson?	94/6/24
	South Yorkshire	Bridleway success in	82/3/10
	Tees Valley	Banning horses from roads	06/2/13
	Using volunteers	An essential ingredient	92/5/19
		20 miles of bridleway for £4k	90/5/19
	Yorkshire Dales	Getting there	89/4/15
		Green lanes survey	89/4/13
Map evidence	Accuracy of order plan v. old maps	A different angle	02/7/37
		High tech on the king's highway	02/7/39
	Aggregated to prove case	A byway in the Peaks	09/5/61
		A cul-de-sac RB	09/2/20
		A forgotten highway	08/1/7
		A King's Highway	01/1/6
		A long-standing bridleway	11/9/143
		A 'necessary & convenient road.'	12/4/47
			10 Nov.
		A persuasive case	2007
		An inclosure awarded restricted byway	12/10/108
		An old through ro	07/2/22
		Claims in the balance	05/5/37
		Collective inference	02/6/30
		<i>Commission for New Towns v. Gallagher</i> [2002]	04/10/87
		Common law BOATs and maintenance records	02/8/46
		Early rights	02/3/12
		Exemplar?	05/2/7
		Hampshire bridleways	07/2/23
		Home counties BOATs	9 July06
		Lonely road	05/5/35

	New maps, second thoughts	97/5/28
	Maps and walls	99/7/53
	Maps v. Chaps	97/7/45
	More sense from the bench	99/6/43
	<i>Parker v. Nottinghamshire County Council</i> [2009].	09/3/32
	Rights - vehicular and human	01/9/43
	Sufficient weight in an award	06/2/17
	Unfinished business	01/6/32
	Weighed in the balance	02/5/20 10 Nov. 2007
Aggregation doesn't prove case	West Riding rights	2007
	A confusing picture	06/4/39
	A reasonable allegation only	07/3/34
	All or nothing	04/10/85
	An unconvincing case	09/2/17
	Ancient routes, but no higher rights	09/4/50
	Ambiguous, weak & unconvincing	09/2/17
	Bottoms, Bunns, and Trapp's	09/2/18
	Claims in the balance	05/5/37
	Decisions in brief	11/8/126
	Improbable probabilities	10/2/20
	Missing links	02/9/54
	Natural selection	98/7/42
	Sufficient weight in an award	06/2/17
	Suffolk BOATs sink	05/3/14
	Two unconfirmed bridleway routes	12/5/58
	Weighed and found wanting	10/8/150
Bartholomew's cycling maps considered	Alas Smith & James	00/6/38
Bartholomew's - dates of editions	Dating Barts. Maps.	99/4/28
'Back Lane' and cross roads	Back lane blues	99/3/15
Basic guide	Documentary evidence	90/3/12
BOAT from bridleway order		1 May 01
Boundary records	OS boundary records	97/2/7
Bridge book as evidence	Records of weight	05/6/52
Bryant's map - analysed	Bryant's map of Suffolk	00/3/20
Byway proved entirely on map evidence	Map interpretation	00/5/35
Byway Status	Map evidence	1 May 01
Canal evidence proves strong	Unintended consequence	07/5/57
Cary's half-inch map - validating the entries	'A methodology to establish the accuracy ...'	05/8/79
	Patterson, perambulators and plagiarism	06/3/35
Committee minutes as evidence	Meaningful minutes	10/2/23
Court's view in 1929	Documentary evidence: in 1929	99/4/23
Court's view in 1985	<i>Scriven v. Somerset County Council</i> (1985)	07/1/12
Cross roads, etc	Cross roads - cross purposes?	97/9/58
	Double cross	98/1/8
	Heads I win ...	01/1/7
	<i>Hollins v. Oldham</i>	97/3/18
	Note this guidance	97/6/34
	Planning Inspectorate Guidance Note	99/9/73
	Rule of thumb...	00/5/35
	Weighing the maps	97/5/25
	Weston Patrick finale	98/7/42
	What is a cross road?	97/6/33
	Win some, lose some	98/7/41
Depiction of "public road" on old OS	OS rules	96/3/20
Early OS maps	Antique roads? No!	96/8/49
	More pointers	00/9/60
Estate plan good evidence	'Once of highway'	01/8/39

	Ford over river is good evidence	Dylan's BOAT	05/8/74
	Gaps filled by circumstantial evidence	First edition value	97/5/27
	Guidebook to old map atlases	A logical extension	96/9/56
	Handover maps 1929, Inspector's view	Rule of Thumb...	00/5/35
	How to start researching	Review	98/6/35
	Inclosure award not followed	Once a highway - unless	02/10/62
	Inclosure Act to be taken as valid	Annotio ...	05/2/7
	Interpretation of	Detectives at work	90/6/24
		Documentary evidence of status	03/4/32
	Key to John Cary road maps	Interpreting map evidence	97/2/11
	Local authority records have weight	Local authority records	05/7/61
	Maps before 1820	<i>Kent CoCo v. Loughlin</i>	05/7/67
	Old maps	Bodleian holds the key	96/9/54
	Original Names Books (OS)	Original Names Books	99/3/16
		An 'historic route'	04/5/47
	Norfolk CC v. Mason [2004]	Public road or private road?	05/5/39
	Parochial road	Hole Lane history	04/2/22
		Highly persuasive	05/1/4
	Path not visible on the ground	Heading for the Herepath	12/8/97
	Presumption of (recent) dedication from	No reputation?	12/1/26
	'Private accommodation roads'	Ancient lanes as footpaths	2 June 08
	Public accommodation road on old map	Public accommodation	02/7/38
	Quarters sessions documents	Asylum cul-de-sac	04/9/73
	Railway plan fails to prove case	An 'obfuscated narrative'	11/2/48
			10 Nov.
	Railway plan 'very strong evidence'	A choice of plan	2007
		A significant plan	03/1/7
		Calderdale gets elbowed	00/8/54
		Weighty railway records	05/6/51
	Researching at the PRO, Kew	Part #1	02/10/59
		Part #2	03/1/4
	Rights of Way Act 1932 declaration is strong evidence	A missing link	12/10/11
	Secretary of State view in 1999	Documentary evidence in 1999	99/4/23
	Sequence of mapping is persuasive	Maps prevail - in the end	00/4/26
	Side road order plans as evidence	An unusual bridleway	10/2/23
	Spot heights on OS	Mapping the past	80/8/31
	Turnpike maps valuable evidence	Once a turnpike ...	02/4/16
		Turnpike teaser	05/3/14
	Unimpressive to Inspector	Priorsdale problems	00/10/70
		Bridleway Order confirmed as BOAT on the evidence	4 Mar 06
	Unusual sort of road	Tithes and spot heights	96/5/31
	Value and effect of small scale	Convincing map evidence	96/3/19
	Value of Bartholomew maps	Bartholomew's half-inch maps	93/9/36
		Relying on maps	96/5/30
	Value of cumulative map evidence	A convincing accumulation	97/1/3
	Value of scale and spot heights on OS	Begging to differ	96/9/55
	Value of depiction as "cross road"	More from the bench	96/10/61
		Private road, public byway	99/9/71
Mediation	Value of tithe map in showing BOAT	Mediation: a new option?	00/5/30
	Mediation to solve disputes	Interim Report	15 Nov 01
	Rights of Way Disputes		
Ministry of Defence	Diverting and stopping up	The battle for Larkhill ranges	84/7/28
		Larkhill ascending	09/2/10
	Military Land	RoW over or near	17 Nov 01
National Farmers Union	Access policies	Managing Public Access	95/1/2&3
		The way forward	84/9/33

National Countryside			
Access Forum	Report on activities	The NCAF	03/6/39
National Parks	Access into Hobhouse Report, 1947	Straining the train Hobhouse's choice	06/6/68 09/3/40
	Linear access provision	Extract from degree thesis - Simon Yeomans 1999	99/9/74
	Equestrian provision	Bridle routes in Snowdonia	04/7/56
			16 March
National Trails	South Downs Way extension	Out of time	08
	Pennine Way obstructs a bridleway	For shame!	08/5/49
National Trust	Attitude to reclassified RUPP	Legal nonsense	00/9/63
	Stonehenge proposals 2002	Between a rock and a hard place	02/5/24
	Stonehenge proposals 2011	Stonehenge byways: status quo	11/14/206
	User evidence v. effect of bylaws	Bylaws, bridleways & boomerangs	95/10/60
		Bylaws repay Trust	94/10/39
		Dolebury marathon	00/9/60
		Dolebury - the end in sight?	01/8/39
		More on NT bylaws	96/9/55
		The National Trust (letter)	83/6/22
	Access Review Working Party	Open Countryside	95/9/56
	Policy statement	Access to the countryside	83/4/15
Natural England	Policy statements	Natural visions	06/5/50
		Paths for Communities	11/14/197
Natural justice	Basic principles of	Natural justice	89/2/8
	Ombudsman complaintsit's worth a try	82/2/8
	Ombudsman declines to intervene	The wrong remedy	00/3/17
Objections	Credibility of objector	Decisions in brief	08/5/64
	Electronic submission of case papers	E-mail v. Royal Mail	11/1/9
	Irrelevant objections in determination	Decisions in brief	09/2/22
	Objection not acted upon	Prime cut?	07/5/54
	Relevant grounds - the Lasham case	Misconceived objections -2	92/10/40
		Misconceived objections -1	92/9/36
	Is objection a challenge		1 May 01
Obstruction			
	Access road for new houses is bridleway.	Opening a gap	96/1/4
	Act is bulwark against invading landowners	<i>Holloway v. Egham UDC</i> [1980]	00/10/72
	Adverse possession - claim of	<i>Smith v. Land Registry</i> [2009]	09/3/33
	Awaiting outcome of closure case	<i>Bucks CC v. Simms</i>	90/10/40
	Bridleway - blocked ends.	Back to Baydon	12/9/104
	Bridleways - stiles must be moved	Something right, indubitably	98/2/10
		Compare & contrast #2	98/5/30
	Bulls, etc	Bulls are not the only barrier	86/9/35
	Countryside & RoW Act 2000	Removal of obstructions	10 July 01
	Cross compliance	Cross purposes	04/6/50
	Crops and clearance by chemicals	Grim reaper	98/1/1
		<i>R v. Nottinghamshire CoCo, ex p East Midland Development Ltd</i> , 12th April 2000	01/1/8
	Dangerous obstruction on bridleway	High crime, or misdemeanour?	99/2/10
	Decriminalising obstructions -SORNs	The injustice of frustration	99/9/68
	Delay in clearing - unreasonable	Tradition interrupts highway use	27 July 04
	Dedication with restrictions	Getting past obstructions	10/1/4
	Deviation from route past obstructions		
	Deviation past obstruction defeats user- based DMMO	Deviation, not dedication	10/2/29
	Diversion is council's first response	Spitting in the public's eye?	02/6/27
	Diversion processed before clearance	Parallel lines?	02/4/14
	Diversion first held wrong by court	East Sussex hit ...	03/2/10
	Gates as	<i>Durham CC v. Scott</i>	90/7/26

	Gates must be removed	Victory for the little people! <i>Herrick v. Kidner & Somerset CoCo</i> (2009) <i>Herrick v. Kidner & Somerset CoCo</i> (2010)	04/2/10 09/2/12 10/2/14
	HA fast-tracks diversion for landowner whilst ignoring adjacent obstruction of same bridleway		
	HA tries to close obstructed FP	Christmas turkey Obstr. by illegal development Travelling obstructions Up the garden path	01/2/10 96/2/6 93/10/40 93/2/5
	Intentional blocking v. application	Decisions in brief	09/6/76
	Minimal block is still obstruction	<i>Durham CC v. Scott</i> [1991] JPL 362	00/1/16
	Most obstructed bridleway?	Letters	08/3/31
	New age travellers	New age dilemmas	92/9/35
	New path obstructed by old houses	What happens next?	96/4/25
	Permanent or temporary?	A permanent obstruction Another twist in the Maulden tale Down in Bedfordshire ... Maulden FP 28: a dramatic denouement. Maulden maundering? Maulden mired? Maulden mischief More Maulden movement More on Maulden Shall the not-meek inherit ... (Maulden) Shooting your own officers? (Maulden) A fruit-and-nu case? (Maulden)	01/8/39 06/5/54 08/4/42 09/3/30 06/6/62 02/5/18 08/1/12 10/2/10 01/9/43 01/8/40 02/2/5 10/4/56
	Prosecution of offender	Last resort	98/1/2
	Prioritisation of new problems	Out of the pigeon-hole	93/4/13
	Refusal of HA to clear disputed path	A funny thing ...	00/7/46
	Restricted byways - must be clear	Stile counsel	07/2/14
	Section 130A orders	A limited provision? Back to Birley (Birley) Repairs specified Orton opened Pennine Way to go Reviewing the notices Skeby sorted. Sort of <i>Foy v. Dacorum and Herts</i> That's no obstruction... <i>Re Ellenborough</i> : no parking	04/10/80 05/3/11 09/2/10 09/3/26 09/1/4 05/8/70 09/1/4 90/10/40 99/9/69 95/9/51
	Storing materials on highway	<i>Westley v. Hertfordshire County Council</i>	98/10/74
	Traffic calming is not an obstruction	Storm over the pass	96/2/15
	Using the road as a workplace	Obstructions	92/3/12
	Using Environment Act and s.56 unsuccessfully	Occupation roads and second Pls	96/10/62
	Wade's Corrieyairack Pass blocked		
	Wilfulness, reasonableness & lawful		
Occupation roads	Inspector's view on		
Occupiers liability	Responsibility for injury		
	Occupiers liability - part 1		85/7/28
	Occupiers liability - part 2		85/8/32
	Imposition against officer recommendation	Local democracy in action	99/2/6
Ombudsman	PINS must pay compensation again	Costly errors	12/9/97
	Refusal to consider complaint	Chop-chop - no chance	97/5/26
	Schedule 14 appeals	Lincolnshire double	02/6/26
	Unreasonable delays in doing statutory work	A formidable backlog Trying too hard? Milestones or millstones?	05/4/26 06/1/2 99/5/31
'Once a highway ...'	Basic rule restated	A disused carriageway	16 May 07

	Rule confirmed as rule of law	Loder v. Gaden (1997)	00/6/44
	Rule revisited	Loder v. Gaden (1999)	04/2/15
		Valedictory for a principle	01/5/27
Open Spaces			Commons -
Society			Horse
Order making	History of	A paper by Bob Milton	riding on
	Administrative costs example	Background to urban public access	29 July 04
	Administrative decision overturned by order	Welsh wonga	08/6/67
	Adding 'parts' to orders	Decisions in brief	12/5/61
	Amending bad wording	Adding parts	11/4/86
	Applications - validity of	Watching brief	12/5/62
	Combined orders	Level playing field	11/10/155
		Combination punch	08/4/42
		A combined order	11/2/49
		Part work	11/5/95
		Recording a negative?	11/6/105
	Consultation - failure vitiates order	A need to consult	05/5/35
	Commencement of 81 Act process	Commencement	83/4/16
	Decision letter - quality of	Decisions in brief	11/1/26
	Defective orders	A fatal flaw	03/9/63
		Back to the drawing board	97/6/38
		Darwen stand off	16 May 07
		Decisions in brief	3 Sep 06
		Decisions in brief	12/9/103
		Encyclopaedic knowledge	98/9/64
		If at first you don't succeed	07/5/58
		Misleading?	99/1/3
		Many a slip	09/5/62
		<i>R oao Warden & Fellows of Winchester College v. HCC & SoS for EFRA [2007]</i>	08/1/10
		<i>R oao Warden & Fellows of Winchester College v. HCC & SoS for EFRA [2008]</i>	08/3/29
		Subsection slippage	11/4/91
	Delay in process - 20 years	Decisions in brief	06/1/9
	Delay in process - 18 years	Eighteen years	10/7/113
	Detail - degree of, in orders	<i>Perkins v. SoS for EFRA [2009].</i>	09/3/32
	Duty to consider agriculture	A forgotten duty?	07/2/14
	Duty to consider biodiversity	A new duty	07/2/15
	Duty to investigate (O' Keefe)	Coroner declares O'Keefe is dead!	02/5/18
	Getting things moving	Applying for an order	83/6/24
	Investigating applications	The duty to investigate	88/6/24
	Landowners not known	Seeking dispensation	06/3/27
	Local authority cannot be applicant for order	Still not acceptable	14 Mar 08
	Making public path orders	Public path orders - part 1	84/1/4
		Public path orders - part 2	84/2/8
		Public path orders	83/9/36
	Making modification orders	When a map should be changed	83/7/28
	Making orders	Making orders	83/5/20
		A higher standard of...	89/5/17
	Map scales in application	Still valid	12/3/41
	Modification notices (wrongly worded)	'Administrative oversight'	11/7/112
	New evidence	What is 'new' evidence	26 Jul 04
	Notice period not complied with	Insufficient notice	2 Mar 08
	Number of orders in two years - national	Interesting figures	98/4/24
	'Ownership' of orders - survivability	What's yours is mine	02/3/11
	Plans - additional plan can be inserted into order by an Inspector	Decisions in brief	12/8/93

		Decisions in brief	12/10/117
	Portmanteau order - mixed outcomes	A mixed bag	11/3/72
	Post-O'Keefe	Case report	89/4/16
	Prioritisation of applications policy	Locked away?	02/4/15
	Reasonably alleged to subsist	<i>R v. SoS for Wales ex p Emery</i>	97/9/60
		Reasonably alleged, but not established	11/3/73
		<i>Bagshaw & Norton</i>	02/3/10
	Revocation orders	Use of	12/5/61
	Relevant date	Relevant error	10/10/160
	Scale of plans	Scales of justice	08/2/24
	Scaling off plans	Decisions in brief	07/6/73
	Serving notices by email	Orders by ether	11/3/53
		The service of notices via email	10/5/79
	Serving notice on landowners	The unidentified owner	88/7/28
	Time delay	Fourteen years on	98/10/71
	WCA 81 post mortem	Does the WCA81 work?	84/9/36
	Withdrawal of support for order by HA	Bad deal in dodgy city	97/1/4
Ordnance Survey	Copyright and definitive maps	Wrong about copyright?	00/10/65
	Future of	OS & the National Interest	97/7/46
	OS map held wrong in evidence	Yorkshire blockbuster	07/4/40
Ownership of the road	Who owns the top and subsoil?	A public interest in the soil of commons, wastes and moors	04/7/59
		Ownership of the highway	86/3/12
		Ownership of awarded highways	86/4/16
		Ownership doubts	97/7/44
		Ownership not crucial	01/2/11
		White roads, white knuckles	99/4/23
		Question of ownership	01/10/47
Parish councils	Involvement in RoW	Parish, town & Community c'cils	83/3/11
	Local councils and RoW		83/3/12
Parish paths part'ship	Official launch	Parish paths partnership	93/1/3
	Pre-launch	Priming the parish pump	92/6/23
Parish records	Maintenance records from the parishes	A parish by lanes committee	89/9/35
Planning Inspectorate	Consistency Guidelines	... reissued without proper revision	04/3/26
	Consistency Guidelines review abandoned	Shifting the blame	04/5/45
	Response to complaint about Inspector	The wrong approach?	04/2/11
	Ridiculous delay in updating	Losing the will to live	08/3/27
Perambulation		Manor of Milford	A report from 1838
Pesticides	defra guidance	Pesticides & RoW signage	10/2/9
Planning process	New guidance for householders	Good advice ...	01/1/1
	Specific policies for RoW	A structure plan.....	85/9/35
		Planning for rights of way	85/9/36
		Points for planners	82/3/12
		Reclaiming our heritage	81/3/12
Ploughing	Applying 1990 Act powers	Plough the paths & scatter	83/7/25
		Prosecuting under RoW Act 1990	91/9/36
		Prosecution under RoW Act 1990	91/7/26
		Reflections on the RoW Act 1990	90/9/36
		The RoW Act 1990	90/8/31
	Bridleway ploughed	A report from North Yorks	4 June 01
	Dedication of away subject to a right	Subject to ploughing	95/6/30
	Farmer convicted	Ploughing bridleways	96/2/16
	Headland ploughing -grants?	Feeding the hand that bites you?	99/3/20
	Local Government Ombudsman dec'n.	Setting high standards?	95/9/55
	NFU policies- editorial critique	The same old furrow?	95/1/1

	Pre-1990 procedures	Who ploughed that field?	87/7/28
	Thetford RDC	Ploughed road - 1931	6 Aug 01
Police	Advice to landowners to block RoW	New age dilemmas	93/2/6
	Policy on recreational use of roads	Social use of the highway	95/1/4
Policy initiatives	Launch of BBT equestrian plan	Paths, routes & trails ...	06/6/61
	The need for DLW & volunteers	Keep soldiering on	06/6/63
Position	Moving a route wrongly located on the		
correction orders	DMS	Decisions in brief	09/2/22
	The meaning & application of omnia		
Presumptions	praesumuntur rite esse acta	Decisions in brief	09/1/8
Private roads	Can be a public road	A cautionary tale	09/2/14
		A journey through private roads	11/2/33
		A Lincoln green lane	03/3/22
		A 'private carriage road' BOAT	2 June 08
		Cliffe again	99/9/71
		Convincing and compelling	06/4/39
		Cul de sac averted	06/3/31
		Decisions in brief	12/1/27
		Possibly private	12/1/24
		Private or public	25 Dec 05
		<i>R v. Richards</i> (1800) 8 TR 634	99/10/80
		Well wishing	08/4/42
	Can be a public bridleway	Private road, public bridleway	03/9/65
	Estate roads held to be bridleways	Estate road bridleways	08/3/33
	Landlocked section of road	An isolated BOAT	99/8/59
	Later dedication as bridleway is OK	Standing firm	06/2/16
	Meaning of	A public "private road"	97/6/36
	 and the Cottingham case	89/6/22
		Agricultural surveys & private roads	98/1/7
		- " -	98/5/31
		<i>Andrews and Dunlop</i> revisited	99/5/33
		Clear enough?	98/10/69
		<i>Dunlop</i> rides again	98/6/36
		More on 'private'	98/2/14
		No rights on private carriage road	10/3/51
		Use and benefit	91/8/31
		Private drive - public road	01/5/25
		Private means private?	99/6/39
		Private parsed	12/1/24
		Public & private roads	89/9/34
		'Private road' - letter	87/4/14
		Private road - part 1 -article	87/1/4
		Private road - part 2 -article	87/2/8
		Second time around	98/4/25
		The meaning of the word 'private' ...	11/9/141
	New evidence - Glatton PI #2	Second thoughts	94/6/23
	New evidence - Glatton PI #3	Glatton roundabout	96/6/36
	New evidence - Glatton PI #4	Full circle at Glatton	97/1/3
	Post-Dunlop interpretation	A private problem	
		Private thoughts	96/8/48
		Riding on <i>Dunlop</i>	97/5/29
	Quarry argument rejected	<i>Wandsworth</i> revisited	01/3/18
	Quarry argument repeated	Invalid reasoning	05/8/77
	Robert Halstead	A Private Award	6 Apr 01
Privatised access	'Public realm' areas	Whose space is it anyway?	06/3/25
Proving rights	Tension between procedures?	HA 1980 v.WCA 1981	02/7/36
Public at large	Meaning of	The public at large	97/5/27
Public importance	Meaning of public importance	Deemster Doyle determines	11/13/186
Public inquiries	Absence of a party is not fatal	Success for absentee objectors	10/2/26
	Adjourning	Adjourning public inquiries	97/5/31

	It's a discretion thing	97/7/43
	Rock banging delayed	11/9/130
Amicus curiae	PINS refusal to provide	10/1/2
Bias - guard against	'Three counties rule' is gone	01/9/41
'Bundles' - access to	Rules and bundles	09/2/15
Cancellation at late stage	Bad deal in dodgy city	97/1/4
Challenge to Inspector's decision on facts	Gates of Eden	96/10/61
Claims for costs	A useful tip	01/8/38
	Not unreasonable	96/1/6
	Costs- <i>ex parte Smith and Deller</i>	94/3/12
	Costs and complaints for Pls	95/8/48
	Norfolk turkey	93/9/33
	Considerable catch on costs	97/8/50
Complaints	Costs and complaints for Pls	95/8/48
	Gone, but not forgotten	06/3/27
		10 Nov.
Conflict of interest for Inspector	Decisions in brief	2007
Council on Tribunals bites on PI conduct	Called to account	01/1/2
Evidence taken under oath	Under oath	02/3/12
Expert witnesses	Reluctant witness	05/5/36
Five inquiries for one order	Decisions in brief	06/4/42
HA taking neutral stance after schd 14		96/2/7
Order and object	Schedule 14 blues	94/6/22
	Sitting on the fence	99/1/4
How to give evidence	Appearing at inquiries...	97/5/31
How many public inquiries held in 2009?	Counting the outcomes	10/1/3
Interference with witnesses?	Credibility gap	07/6/72
Inspector's power to determine	Powers invested	10/5/78
Inspectors not following guidance	New mourning	11/9/138
	Still in black	11/10/154
Late submission of evidence & law	A late submission	97/8/52
	Even nuttier	11/11/166
	More bites at the cherry	00/1/3
	A very slim case	02/2/7
	Ducking the issue	08/4/38
	It's only people's games ...	02/3/9
	<i>Whitworth</i> : loose wheelnuts?	11/9/135
	Within the rules	09/4/55
Legal submissions - new advice note 3	I submit!	07/4/39
Location of - letter	Cold comfort hall	97/2/6
Longest PI? 25 days	Yorkshire blockbuster	07/4/40
Modifying orders - Inspector agrees to	A long. Long trail a-winding	04/7/57
	Changes of mind	3 Sep 06
	Repeat performances	9 July 06
Modifying orders - refusal	A modification too far	06/1/6
	A vanished public road	06/4/41
	Another round?	16 May 07
	Commuter belt BOATS	06/3/30
	Decisions in brief	12/9/103
	Matters arising	08/3/34
	More than his job's worth?	02/7/37
	Off the order map	04/2/22
	Repeat performances	9 July 06
Natural justice - the 'Pinochet argument'	Tale of Hoffman?	01/4/22
	Decisions in brief	09/4/56
Notices - must be properly worded	Blunted points of law	05/5/36
Objections at second PI - validity?	Suck it and see?	01/2/11
Objections withdrawn - Inspector's role	An unsuccessful assertion	99/10/77

	OMA declines to support its own order	Advice Note #1 from Inspectorate	98/8/57
		Passive neutrality	06/6/66
	OMA declines to choose a status	Whatever you think, Inspector	3 Sep 06
	Pre-inquiry meeting leads to bundling of orders	Seven days in Devon	05/4/28
	Preparation for - article	Part I - preparation	91/7/28
	Procedure at	Public inquiries	91/8/32
	QC's behaviour at PI	Lawyers with attitude	02/9/54
	Quality control in the process	High standards & balance sheets	99/8/60
		Inspecting the inspectors	90/10/38
		Just expectation?	07/1/1
		Still 99% error-free	00/1/3
		Zen and the art of.... PIs	97/6/40
	Reversal of earlier decision	A closer look	98/9/64
		Marwood revisited	03/4/28
		Topsy-turvy II	03/3/24
	Right to a fair hearing	<i>Bryan v. United Kingdom</i>	96/5/28
		Enforcement by costs: <i>Ridley & Masters v. SoS</i>	
	Rules	[2009]	09/2/10
		Explaining the structure	07/5/62
		Explaining the structure (revised)	07/6/74
		10% summary of witness statements	08/3/26
	Rules in Wales	Bell, book and candle?	11/14/202
	Second PI - additional evidence accepted	Research pays off	01/3/18
	Second PI - new rules post-Marriott	Separating out	01/7/35
	Statutory interpretation: 'purposive'	Assuming the wig?	07/3/29
		The secret to success ...	08/4/40
	Three inquiries for one issue	A Humberside trilogy	96/2/16
	Timescale	Doctor Who is believed...	97/5/23
		Stretching ahead	97/5/25
	Two inspectors at one PI	A helping hand	05/8/73
	Validity of order before the PI	Nothing to do with me	99/6/42
	Validity of public inquiry to decide orders	Dolebury Marathon	00/9/60
		Objections overruled (again)	00/9/60
	Video evidence to be admitted?	Palpable friction	96/1/1
	Volunteers v. professionals	Volunteers and public inquiries	05/3/21
	What inspectors know	The view from Bristol	96/1/11
		Alternative view	96/8/46
		As one who was there	96/9/54
	Witnesses' absence harms evidential weight	No way at Ston Easton	12/8/91
Public nuisance		Changing down in Cumbria	08/4/44
		Motor use of bridleway	30 Jun 04
		Second thoughts on Silly Dale	09/4/52
Public service indicators		How they are being falsified	04/8/64
Quarter sessions	Road records	A fruitful comparison	16/5/07
		Making use of QS papers	87/6/24
		Quarter sessions	87/5/20
Railways	Byways defeat user evidence	Decisions in brief	9 July 06
	Capacity to dedicate defeats 20 year user	Dedication derailed	07/5/59
	Level crossing orders	Slow train coming?	06/3/27
	Safety issue in diversion order	Safe enough	12/7/83
Railway plans	Bridleway proved by	A Cheshire bridleway	04/3/35
		Railway Legislation	16 Aug 05
		Common law bridleways	06/1/8
	Bridleway not proved by	No joy at Nuthurst	09/6/75

	Evidence of vehicular rights	Decisions in brief 12/5/60	
	Roads depicted on	BOAT application provides RB	06/12/26
Reasonably alleged to subsist		Railway routes researched	84/6/24
		Railway Acts of Parliament	84/3/12
	Test A and test B	Putting UCRs on the def. Map	03/9/63
Recreation 2000	BBT's initial response	Testing, testing	04/10/79
	Correspondence	Recreation 2000	86/8/31
		Fact or fantasy?	91/1/11
	Delays in processing DMMOs	Fact, fantasy & furore	91/3/11
	Editorial critique	Schedule 14: another long wait	96/6/34
		The first millenium	96/4/23
		The path to righteousness	91/9/33
		The best is the enemy of the good	91/4/13&14
Relevant date	When right brought into question	Shifting sands	88/2/5
		Brought into question	97/2/10
		Close the coalhouse door!	97/1/3
		Challenging situations	01/7/35
		Question time	03/4/31
		Sewage blocks claim	02/9/53
Repair Research	See 'Maintenance'	Computers for amateur RoW research #1	10/3/36
		Computers for amateur RoW research #2	10/4/59
		Computers for amateur RoW research #3	10/5/81
		Computers for amateur RoW research #4	10/6/99
Restricted byways	Cycle user evidence	A dedicated restricted byway	08/1/9
		A dedicated RB: second thoughts	09/1/5
		Appropriate circumstances	07/2/23
		Cycle use & RB rights	10/4/70
		Decisions in brief	08/6/75
	Horse-drawn vehicles threatened with TRO	H-DV rights under threat	08/2/14
	Horses cannot use - too narrow	Sorry, no horses!	11/9/143
	Motor use proves RB	A dedicated restricted byway	10/7/129
	Narrow gates	Photo & caption	08/2/19
	Passing bays provided	Decisions in brief	11/4/93
	Post-NERC public inquiries	A delayed anomaly	07/6/73
		A kaleidoscopic route	3 Sep 06
		A new era	3 Sep 06
		A fragmented network, thanks to NERCA	12/6/74
		An inevitable consequence	07/6/70
		An unrestricted addition	06/5/56
		An unsuitable reclassification	06/6/64
		Exception and restriction	06/5/54
			10 Nov.
		Exception not accepted	2007
		Listed streets	08/2/20
		Not just bridleways	07/1/6
			10 Nov.
		Perceived shortcomings	2007
		Reclassifying RUPPs as RBs	07/1/7
		Taking advice	2 Mar 08
		Transitional arrangements	07/2/24
		Unadopted road becomes restricted byway	11/5/99
Ridgeway	Severance of order and pre-NERCA status.	Restriction confirmed.	12/6/74
	Post-inquiry attitudes to management	Facing the facts	94/2/8
		Editorial critique	94/1/1
	Pre-public inquiry discussion	Drawing the line	92/6/24
		A philosophy of multi-use	89/9/33

	Public inquiry post-mortem	The Ridgeway PI: the issues	93/1/4
		PIs move into the big league	92/10/39
Right to roam	Cost of implementation in England	Access audit	06/5/49
	Open access in Scotland	Roaming in the gloaming	03/6/37
Rights of	A letter from a Parish	Fordham, Cambridgeshire	22 Dec 05
Rights of way improvement plans		Bidding for improvement	05/4/25
	Influencing outcome of orders	The bigger picture	08/5/60
	'Merely aspirational'	Getting no money for value	07/3/25
	RoWIPs do not deliver	RoWIPs: a supply side study	06/3/25
		RoWIPs reviewed - and guidance needed	09/1/2
Rivers	Roads and river co-exist	Highway in a watercourse	18 Nov 01
Road safety	Reporting 'accidents'	After the events (STATS 19)	05/8/70
Road schemes	Highways Agency policy paper 1996	Weasel words...	97/1/1
	Busways impact equestrian routes	Bridleway or busway?	02/2/8
	Highway authority survey of roads: 19th		
Road surveys	C.	History	03/8/56
Roads - History of	See - History of Roads		
Roman roads	Condition of Ryknild Street, 2008	A middle England mile	08/5/53
	History of	Highway code, Roman style	90/7/26
		From rabbits to Romans	84/8/31
		Roman road reversal	03/9/61
	Rights over?	No vehicular rights on Roman road	06/4/40
		Not in the army now	06/5/53
RUPPs	Downgrading by DMMO	Salopian hiccups	94/8/31
		Standards of proof	96/10/63
		Troublesome RUPPs	95/5/24
		Back to square one	97/9/58
	CRFs and CRBs	The symbols CRF and CRB	93/8/32
	CRFs are only footpaths	Cart road confusion	07/3/33
	Evidence of maintenance taken for status	Evidence of maintenance	99/6/42
	Judicial review of former RUPP case	Wilts BOATs	06/3/29
	Motorcyclist users are the public	More Mellor	95/8/43
	Motor user post-1930 prima facie unlawful, but can 'span' 1930 and be valid	<i>R v. Planning Inspectorate Cardiff ex p Howell</i> , 15th June 2000	00/9/63
		Stevens v SoS for Environment	98/5/34
			98/6/37
			98/7/46
			99/8/64
	NERC/CRoWA considered in detail	Somewhat complex	07/4/41
		Decisions in brief	11/3/75
		Delayed reclassification	11/5/99
	Non-through routes	A piebald route	08/2/22
		Piebald RUPPs	87/3/12
	Post-Riley upgrade of former RUPP	Inquiry report	94/3/12
		Hare and hounds	97/9/60
	[Preshute 12]	<i>R aa Kind v. SoS for EFRA</i> [2005]	05/6/55
		DEFRA's view pre-High Court	25 Apr 04
	Railway maps and bridges as evidence	Another finely run judgment	06/1/8
		Off the rails?	95/9/52
	Reclaiming "lost" RUPPs	The Riley case	89/3/12
		Reclassification revisited	09/3/35
		Revisionism in action	04/10/82
	Reclassification as BOAT on map evidence	Historical pointers	99/10/78
	Reclassifying as footpath - tests	Mistake about a mistake	98/4/25

		Presumption and proof	98/3/18
		Welsh ways	04/7/57
		Welsh ways reassessed	06/2/19
	Reclassification -piebald results	Bad news, welcome views	95/10/60
		Legal nonsense	00/9/63
	Reclassification post-NERCA	Restrictive practices	08/1/19
		The curse of NERCA	09/4/55
		An unusual problem - and some loose ends.	12/2/37
	Reclassification statistics	RUPP reclassification	00/1/4
	Reclassification statutory presumption	Not shown not to exist	00/10/70
	Reclassification tests	A duty to reclassify?	93/5/18
		An unacceptable error	90/8/30
		Bearing the burden	90/8/29
		Crossing out riders	97/8/52
		Eliminating the double negative	96/5/29
		In the balance	96/1/5
		RUPPs and BOATs	83/8/32
		When is a RUPP not a RUPP...?	88/5/20
	Reclassification/simultaneous/TRO	Sauce for the goose and gander	90/3/9
	<i>Robinson v. Adair</i> tests	Just in time	98/1/4
	User evidence for BOAT claims		98/2/13
	Weight of evidence necessary	Presumption and proof II	98/9/64
Safety issues		Two unusual routes	7 Aug 01
Sands roads	Crossing Morecambe Bay	The Morecambe Bay Crossing	95/3/12
		A BOAT -for boating	93/2/7
Schedule 14 appeal	Criteria for appeal determination	Criteria? What criteria?	11/12/173
	Secretary of State reverses recommendation.	Roman road reversal	03/9/61
		Schedule 14 bridleways	05/7/61
Scotland access laws	Exclusion of horses from path.	<i>Tuleys v. The Highland Council</i> [2009].	09/3/33
	'Greenways'-type proposals	People prefer paths	10/7/112
Seymour, Colin	'Memoirs'	My Way to My Ways #1	10/2/16
		My Way to My Ways #2	10/3/39
		My Way to My Ways #3	10/4/62
		My Way to My Ways #4	10/6/104
		My Way to My Ways #5	10/7/122
		My Way to My Ways #6	10/8/140
		My Way to My Ways #7	10/10/165
		My Way to My Ways #8	11/1/15
		My Way to My Ways #9	11/2/36
		My Way to My Ways #10	11/3/64
Side road orders	Side road orders cannot be omitted	Your sins will find you out	00/8/51
	No fixed routes	Across the bay	96/8/47
Signs on green roads	Non-statutory 'No vehicles' signs	Beyond necessity?	98/9/61
Signs on rights of way	Statutory requirements	A clear sign?	07/3/27
		Conduct of site visits relating to rights of way orders	99/6/46
Site visits	Planning Inspectorate guidance note	Crossing a main road	06/12/26
	An on-going saga		
Special Acts of Parliament	Dock Act and highways	On the waterfront	11/5/96
Special extinguishment orders	Tests for	Crime and extinguishment	06/6/65
SSSIs & highways	Effect of SSSI designation on repair etc	SSSIs and minor highways	95/3/14
		Horses v. nature	89/1/3
Statutory duties	Dodging duties?	Statutory duties/political agendas	94/4/16

Statutory undertaker	Savings access rights of	Watching brief	10/10/78
Suitability	Causes bridleway to be ploughed	Another grey bearded man	97/3/14
	NFU ideas to reintroduce a test	An unsuitable idea	95/8/39
Stopping up	A case history	Common sense or a crim. offence?	89/1/4
	A council's response to a SU application	Plaudits corner	98-8-53
	Consultation on s.116 procedures	Slowly getting there	96/6/34
	Cul de sac bridleway in country park	An expedient downgrading	20/8/151
	Defence legislation orders	Defence legislation stopping up orders	99/4/28
	Justices refuse applications	The Drift Road	09/4/45
	Limits on "unnecessary"	BBT response to change proposal	85/6/24
	Non-definitive paths	Stopping up non-definitive paths	02/1/3
	On grounds of anti-social use	Unnecessary? - editorial	85/5/17
	On grounds of landowners interests	BOAT 22, Whittington, Northumberland.	12/1/16
	Presumption of order being made	RA v. Kent CoCo	90/4/13
	Processes	The legal right to close h'ways - 1	
		The legal right to close h'ways -2	85/4/16
		Application refused	85/5/20
		Obstruction does not mean unnecessary	26 Apr 01
	Right to be heard by justices	Use and misuse	96/3/16
	Right to be heard by justices	Balancing act	96/9/55
	Security of judge as reason	Here today, gone forever	04/10/79
	Stopping up	Footpath	21 Sept 01
	TCPA powers tried	Up the garden path	96/10/63
	The unnecessary test	Who can object?	96/9/53
	Value of use by public	Standing on the Mayor	96/1/4
		The wrong way?	94/9/28
		BMF v. Gloucestershire CoCo	93/7/28
		Minority rights	96/9/56
		Compton v. Somerset CoCo	82/4/16
Surface condition	Achievements of a litigant-in-person	Molly Wedgewood	95/8/45
	As evidence of status	Rede again	96/5/31
	Bridleway diversion on to hard surface	An unusual bridleway	96/8/48
		Heavy going	08/2/22
		Loadsamurnee!	09/3/27
	Dissertation on Wiltshire Paths	Dissertation assertion	96/5/27
	No track is evidence of no right of way	Definitive, but...	96/5/31
	Standards for surfaces	Rutting season	08/2/15
		Buffed, waxed and tanned	11/2/30
Surveying roads	Making roads	Setting out highways	91/10/39
		<i>Kumar Shamrao Kotegaonkar v. The Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and Bury Metropolitan Borough Council</i> [2012]	
Termini	Public highway cannot run between 2 private places.	EWHC 1976 (Admin)	12/6/80
		Decisions in brief	12/10/115
Terminology	Acts of parliament	Public, local & private acts of parliament	10/2/11
	Back lane	Back lane	97/5/32
	Balk	The Balk	00/10/68
		More on balks	01/1/5
	Bridle stile road	A historical limitation?	99/3/20
	Cart road	A cart road	98/3/19
	Click-em-in	Clickem Inn or Click 'Em In?	95/6/30
	Coffin ways	Coffin ways et al	93/4/14
		Coffin ways and steeple chases	93/3/11
	Common fairing way	Old expressions	98/1/6
	Common highway	Publicke and common highway	98/3/21
	Corpse Road		16 Aug 05

	Definitions	RoW terminology	87/5/19
		RoW terminology	87/4/16
	Drift road - meaning of	Drifter's escape	06/2/15
		More on driftways	06/3/28
	Expedient - meaning of	Exposition on expediency	02/5/19
	Field road	Field of interest	96/1/13
	Foot Road	Defining a foot road	94/3/10
	Halter Path	History	96/7/41
		Halterways defined	94/4/14
		Halterpaths	93/4/14
	Heol/hollow	What's in a name?	97/6/35
	Highway/private highway	Private highways	97/4/20
	Horse causeys	Horse causeys & halterpaths	05/7/66
		Horse causeys in context	00/4/28
		Horse causey unearthed	00/8/51
		Second thoughts on Ashover	10/5/89
		Documentary evidence in 1929	99/4/23
	Lane - judge's view	Lon Bwlch	01/9/42
	Lon (Welsh)	An ancient packway	07/2/20
	Packway	Pack & prime - Drift & Drove	99/5/36
	Pack and primeway	More on 'pack & prime ways'	99/9/70
		More pointers	00/9/61
	Parish road	A parish road	03/3/22
		Photo caption	00/10/66
	Passengers	The plough road	95/6/31
	Plough/Plow roads	Ratione tenurae and clausurae	88/6/23
	Ratione tenurae & clausurae roads	Ratione tenurae	06/3/28
		The evolution of road	97/7/43
	Road (in history)	A road by any other name...	99/10/77
	Road (in public inquiry)	Rule of Thumb	00/5/35
		Statistically likely, but ...	3 Sep 06
	Water lanes	Shifting the blame	95/9/50
Through routes	No through route	A missing link	11/4/90
		A piebald route	08/2/22
		An unresolved middle	08/2/23
	Presumption of public way?	A cart road footpath	04/9/74
		A missing link	07/6/70
		Consistency of chalk	03/3/18
		Mixed fortunes in Suffolk	08/1/6
		The thoroughfare principle	00/1/8
		The thoroughfare principle & s.8 Inclosure Act 1801	00/3/19
		Wood Lane inferences	03/4/30
Tithe awards	Importance of tithe awards	A choice of remedies	96/9/53
		Finance Act and Tithe Map evidence	01/1/6
		Logical and compelling	00/2/12
		Physical and map evidence	96/5/30
		Tithe award conclusive	94/8/31
		Tithes and spot heights	96/5/3
	Gaps in roads on awards	Roads on tithe awards	96/10/60
	Second class awards and roads	Tithe maps - handle with care.	99/4/28
Toll access	Paying for bridleways	Toll rides: an opportunity...	90/6/23
Town & Country Planning Act 1990	'Adopted' footpath to be diverted. Goes on DMS.	Decisions in brief	11/12/84
	Authority requests SoS not to confirm order.	Watching brief	12/4/53
	Bernstein considered	'Incorporated', not lost	02/10/63
	Completeness of development a crucial factor	An argument deferred	99/5/34
		Blyth spirit	03/7/50

	Decisions in brief	10 Nov. 2007
	Minimal adjustment	08/5/63
	Overdeveloped	99/5/30
	Part-completed development ...	00/9/61
	Duff diversion	03/10/76
Diversions and alternative highways	Diversion or extinguishment?	11/3/54
Diverting on to estate road	Decisions in brief	02/10/61
	Interpretation of s.257 T&CPA	11/12/182
Drawing a Line	Decisions in brief	1 Apr 01
Economic needs drive diversion	Inappropriate and excessive	10/3/53
Excessive diversion sought in s.257	Decisions in brief	09/4/51
Existence of paths to close - tests?	Unacceptable extinctions	12/9/103
Extinguish path under estate road	Decisions in brief	04/6/51
Footway & footpath: clear distinction	No limit(ation)s	12/3/46
Limitations inserted into orders	A new angle?	11/10/154
Neighbours' interests		09/3/37
		11 Nov. 2007
Order not to be made in advance of PP	Before and after	09/3/39
	Decisions in brief	09/3/39
	T&CPA 1990	90/9/35
Outline of new sections	Decisions in brief	11/13/197
Planning permission must pre-date the order	Decisions in brief	05/2/8
Position of development not specified	Canadee-l-o	04/10/80
Precedence of users?	Still not good enough	99/1/4
Second go to stop up	Decisions in brief	10/4/75
Sequence of tests applied	Decisions in brief	10/4/75
Scale of plans	Christmas turkey	01/2/10
Speed of TCPA order in comparison to adjacent obstruction	<i>R (Batchelor Enterprises) v. SoS for ETR</i> , 23rd July 2001	02/1/4
Stopping up - SoS retains discretion	A needless decision?	98/9/62
Diverting bridleway on to road	An unfortunate development	99/2/9
Need to show necessity		11 Nov. 2007
Restricted byway as replacement route	Canny outcomes	2 Feb 2009
Replacement route	Holiday diversions	11 Nov. 2007
	Pedal to the metal?	1 May 01
Traffic an issue in PP for cycle route	Urbanisation of rural area	02/8/47
Urbanisation	The drawing board beckons	06/5/55
'Unnecessary' is the wrong test	On the verge	08/2/17
Verge - taking of	Halt! Who goes there?	98/8/56
Access control by bollards	The Kent Carriage Gap	99/4/22
	Just being helpful	88/4/16
As a limitation on the def. Statement?	Traffic regulation orders	87/7/25
Basic rules and processes	No decorum in Dacorum	96/6/34
Blanket orders - editorial	Failed experiment?	09/4/43
Court rules on experimental orders	Orders in court	99/3/14
Court rules on defective process	Instant karma's gonna get you...	11/9/132
Cycle route used as reason to TRO	No scorchers!	03/10/73
Cycles prohibited from housing estate	A public inquiry with a difference #2	05/7/59
Experimental order in practice	Another Lakeland ETRO	02/5/18
	Predicting the results?	03/2/14
Experimental orders proposed	A public inquiry with a difference	02/9/51
Experimental order - public inquiry	We don't want their sort here ...	96/10/61
Experimental order - 'public survey'	Body swerve	02/7/34
Experimental order well drafted	Wiltshire intends to ban horses ...	04/8/66
'Flora and fauna' grounds	Tipping on the Moor	04/8/63
Flytipping as reason	Essex exclusions	
Horses prohibited on BOATs		

	Imposition against officer recommendation	Local democracy in action	99/2/6
	Management policies in W Sussex	Last resort	96/5/27
	Misuse of temporary orders in Herts	Sheafgreen Lane....	93/6/21
	Obstruction of lawful traffic?	Sewstern savaged	10/2/10
	On definitive map as a limitation?	No limit!	98/5/30
	Order to facilitate motor rally	Economic assessment #1	98/6/37
		TRO facilitates stage rally	95/3/15
	Public inquiry into TRO on a BOAT	Danger on the Downs	11/6/101
		Once a highway - then a TRO?	02/3/12
		Once in a blue moon	09/1/5
		Stand-off at Balsall	02/4/16
		Unusual occurrence	96/3/18
		Wrong way on Dere Street	00/9/61
	Questionable use of TRO powers	Two for the price of one	95/5/25
	Reinstatement of stone road with grass	Turfed off	02/5/19
	Security criteria -critique of new proposals	The path to Hell?	96/10/59
	Site of special scientific interest right across the road.	Self-serving ordinance?	09/6/66
	Temporary order - extension safeguards	Order extensions out of order?	03/2/10
		Hear? Extensions	03/3/17
	To stop horses	Racehorses may be TROed	83/4/14
	Validity of decision-making process	The long and winding road	10/11/184
	Weight limits in the Isle of Man	No tale	96/9/53
	Weight limits suggested	An open letter to the Minister	94/5/20
Tree preservation	Do TPOs over-ride highway issues?	Woodman spare that tree?	01/1/3
Trespass	Action against 'trespassers' on RUPP	RUPP 13, Great Munden	00/5/33
Turnpike roads	Abandonment - meaning of	Abandon all hope?	07/6/76
	Powers of commissioners to extinguish	Turnpike trouble	96/9/55
	Reclassified as bridleway	A turnpiked bridleway	00/10/70
		Unfinished business	01/6/32
	Seasonal turnpike routes	Summerpikes?	99/10/81
	Turnpikes are highways	R v. Steventon	05/7/67
	What and where?	Turnpike roads/how to find them	94/3/11
Unclassified roads	Adding to the list of streets	A long road unwinding	00/1/3
		Unclassified roads	97/5/32
		Tinkers' Lane is listed...	00/1/4
	Agriculture (Improvement of Roads) Act 1955	Where the tarmac ends	99/7/56
	Contrasting view of Inspectors	The wonderful world of UCRs	98/4/25
		Weston Patrick finale	98/7/42
	Definition of	Quick, pass the valium	96/5/29
		Unclassified county roads	83/7/26
	DETR guidance as to status, etc.	Unclassified roads and the def. map	98/9/62
	Dual status with RUPP -reclassification	On the map at Maperton	97/10/63
			2011/14/20
		Mis-mapped at Maperton?	9
	Error in council's records?	Historic highways in Derbyshire	12/10/110
	Evidence required to prove status	Sorry, Mr Moses...	00/4/23
	Evidential value of take-over maps	Masters v. SoS for Env. July 99	99/8/64
		Masters v. SoS for Env. July 2000	00/8/56
		Highway maintenance maps	97/2/10
		Take Over maps upheld	94/10/39
	Inspector's view of meaning	Rule of Thumb..	00/5/35
	Map as a 'list' - valid for s.36(6)?	Fortune & Oths v. Wiltshire Council (2010)	11/3/57
	Mileage of roads in Wales	Minor highways in Wales	94/10/39
		UCR mileages in Radnorshire	93/4/16
	No balance of user for BOAT status	Limited jurisdiction	96/1/4
	Obstructive highway authority	Bad practice at Tynehead	93/4/24

	Powers to erect street lighting	Electric lighting orders	92/9/34
	Putting on def. map as bridleways	Exceptional UCRs	95/8/43
	Putting on def. map as BOATS	From UCR to BOAT	12/6/76
		Independent streak	06/2/14
		Putting UCRs on the def. map	03/9/63
	Putting on def. map to beat NERC	Not long left?	07/1/12
	Restriction of Ribbon Development Act	Ribbon developments	02/7/39
		Weighed in the balance	12/3/42
	Showing on the definitive map	A monastic mess	98/10/71
		County roads and definitive maps	82/9/39
		Mass conversion	97/1/12
		More about UCRs	99/2/8
		More UCR doubts	98/9/63
		Setting an example	97/1/13
		Subsistence established	12/4/50
	Stopped up old road, by commissioners	A superfluous turnpike road	12/3/44
Unlawful user of the highway	What is legal to do on a road?	Bread and circuses	97/4/19
User evidence	by stealth, <i>nec clam</i>	Sufficiency and stealth	11/14/202
	Private signs - negating user evid.	Called into question	96/6/36
		Invalid challenges	95/2/7
		Spelling it out	94/8/31
		Periodic gate locking	94/8/31
		Signed off	99/2/8
		Signing off	94/6/23
		<i>R. v. SoS, ex p. Cowell</i>	93/7/28
	20 years	A dedicated bridleway network	09/2/20
		Ancient and modern	81/4/16
		Bridleway	17 Oct 03
		Long memories in Woking	01/4/24
		Long memories on the Ridgeway	02/9/54
		Proving 20 years user	80/3/12
		Case law	1 May 01
		Public rights of way: some issues	10/8/136
	Across commons	Commoners' use of commons	95/6/30
	Advice to landowners	No road, I declare	95/4/20
	Affected if path is diverted?	User not negated by diversion	96/1/5
	Article on basic principles of 20 year	DMMOs based on 20 years user	92/7/28
	As of right	Beresford and Mayhew	04/3/35
	Bad presentation damages value	Duties not discharged	96/5/29
	BOAT through user after NERCA	A s.31 BOAT	08/1/6
	Bridleway proved by	Hampshire bridleways	07/2/23
		Dedication at common law	10/3/45
	'Bringing into question'	A military access road	10/10/175
		A successful appeal	11/3/74
		Questionable guidance?	03/8/58
		Bringing into question	26 July 04
		Bringing into Question	30 June 04
		Decisions in brief	10/5/93
		Level playing field	11/10/154
	Capacity to dedicate	Neither capacity nor intention	07/5/57
		'Settled estate' v. common law dedication	05/7/62
	Children as users	Wisness Drove - a Masters class	11/7/117
	Cross examination - nobody available	Decisions in brief	11/12/183
	Cyclists' user - value of	An untested opinion	03/4/28
		Rosedale update	00/8/51
		Shopping list	98/7/44
	Documentary evidence, nil	A BOAT on user alone	06/12/26
	Downgraded bridleway reinstated	Up Pompocali	06/3/32
	Fence across	The purpose of a fence	98/2/13

Five year main user - NERCA - test fails	A cul-de-sac RB in Swanland	12/5/56
Forms need to be accurate	The wrong track?	05/6/48
	Completion of user witness forms	19 Dec 04
	Decisions in brief	12/1/28
	'Legal Niceties'	1 May 01
	Here's one I made earlier	12/1/20
Gates and fences not enough to negate	A defensive sally	98/8/54
Handover map	Handover map	8 Mar 03
Horse riding on footpaths	Bridleways rights over footpaths	80/8/32
Horse riding from nearby stables	Class distinctions	98/3/18
How many users necessary?	Sufficiency of users	10/7/118
	Humble pie	10/8/134
Intention to dedicate - charity	Charitable intentions	01/7/36
Intention not to dedicate	A broken-down fence	03/3/22
	A half-baked chestnut	05/6/49
	An ineffective deposit	10/2/27
	A short intermission.	12/1/8
Intermission (gap) in user.	Aspects of dedication	07/4/43
[<i>Drain/Godmanchester</i> applied]	<i>Berry v. SoS</i> [2006]	06/6/69
	<i>Darby v. First SoS & WCC</i> [2003]	04/10/85
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	Decisions in brief	9 July 06
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	Decisions in brief	14 Mar 08
	Decisions in brief	06/5/60
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	<i>Godmanchester</i> in action	08/2/21
	<i>Godmanchester</i> and Spider Alley	08/4/46
	Lack of intention to dedicate	21 Sept 01
	Lack of intention to dedicate	26 July 04
	Memories of Emery	02/10/61
	No joy for bridle rights	06/1/6
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	No publicity required	06/2/16
	Sorry, no vehicles	06/3/31
	<i>R v. SoS ex p. Godmanchester Town Council</i>	
	(2005)	06/1/4
	Case report	25 July 04
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	Raising the bar	08/2/21
	Silence no protection	02/10/60
	Taking notice	10/5/91
	The wonder continues	03/1/6
Interruption of user period	Effective interruption	02/5/20
	Interruption of use	95/3/16
	Invalid interruption	01/8/38
Insufficient user	Bridleway not confirmed (P5)	06/12/26
Landowner's knowledge of user necessary?	Tested. And found wanting.	12/1/3
Landowners' measures to negate user	A tacit challenge?	95/5/24
	I do declare	98/3/17
	Ineffective challenges	95/10/61
	It pays to display	97/3/16
Later acquisition of public rights	Failure of feedback?	08/4/41
Leases do not defeat user	Decisions in brief	09/6/75
Lease does defeat user	Decisions in brief	12/1/27
Less than 20 years can be valid	A cumulative case	95/2/8
Line claimed used is under water	Land under water	01/3/17
Locals are not sufficiently the public	Problems of dedication	10/1/6
Local users order redetermined	Round two. Knockout	11/13/196
Maintenance issues	Maintenance	14 Mar 02
Meaning of "as of right"	All in the mind - part 1	94/10/40
	part 2	95/3/15
	part 3	95/6/32
	part 4	96/1/9

	'As of right'	98/10/69
	Riddall vindicated?	99/9/68
Motorcyclist users are the public	More Mellor	95/8/43
Motor users are not the public	Conditions for com. law dedication	97/1/3
	Motor user	30 Jun 04
	Results in BOAT	1 May 01
Must be defined track	The importance of being consistent	95/3/16
	A way over land	98/1/5
New evidence - need for	<i>Beresford and Mayhew</i>	04/3/35
New evidence resuscitates old claim	St Agnes: second thoughts	07/3/36
Newspaper notice negates user evidence	Catch all	99/2/8
Old evidence from first public inquiry	Barrow I: silent witness	98/7/41
Period of 20 years, from - to?From when to when?	86/1/4
Permission defeats horse user	Decisions in brief	11/8/125
Poor forms damage value	On Ilkley Moor - bad form	96/3/16
Quality as important as quantity	Bearing witness	96/3/16
Quantity & character to show BOAT	The numbers game	96/3/20
Quantity spelled out.	Sufficiency of users	10/7/118
	Humble pie	10/8/134
Rail crossing bylaw defeats user User evidence	Prohibited user	00/10/71
Regaining a path by post-DMS survey user	Decisions in brief	08/3/36
Signs on paths	<i>Burrows v. SoS for EFRA [2004]</i>	04/6/52
	Decisions in brief	06/5/56
	Decisions in brief	09/1/8
	Decisions in brief	09/2/23
	Decisions in brief	10/7/132
	Signs & portents	08/3/35
Statutory declaration by s.31(6)	A timely deposit	08/2/20
Statutory dedication	Statutory dedication?	8 Mar 03
Termini of routes	Place of public resort	30 June 04
Tolerance of user by owners	Mind reading	97/8/52
Treatment at PI	Friendly persuasion	96/1/5
	Can a user of a public footpath be a trespasser?	12/1/11
Trespass. Is user evidence 'trespass'?	Recreational horse riding does not create a route	06/12/26
User fails to create	Not 'as of right'	12/10/108
User not 'as of right'	A presumed BOAT	03/6/40
Vehicle user lawful on 'road'	A s.31 BOAT	03/8/59
	BOAT on the evidence	16 Aug 05
	Goodbye <i>Robinson</i> , farewell ...	04/9/75
	This way to the ford.	03/8/60
	Vehicles	22 Apr 04
	<i>Bakewell Management Limited v. Brandwood</i>	22 Apr 04
Vehicle user unlawful	Bye-bye byway...	97/7/43
	Never heard of it	99/8/60
Robinson v. Adair	A case misunderstood	97/7/48
Wheel ruts as evidence	Records of weight	05/6/52
Where lower status shown in def. map	<i>Suffolk v. Mason</i>	79/3/12
	<i>Suffolk v. Mason</i>	79/1/4
Who are the public?	Blunted points of law	05/5/36
	Misunderstood?	98/3/19
	More on 'the public'	99/6/39
	<i>Poole v. Huskinson</i>	97/8/53
	Public distinctions	98/1/4
	S.31 in action	99/6/42
	The public at large	97/7/45

Vehicles	BBT policy statement on MPV on RoW	MPVs on public rights of way	05/3/20
	Bridleways - prosecution for using	From Hell, Hull and Halifax	00/9/59
		Questionable utility	00/2/9
	Bridleways - rights over	View from the blue	00/4/26
		Vehicular rights on bridleways	97/2/11
	Carts in Cumberland	A report by Geoff Wilson on early vehicles.	3 May 04
	Causing death by careless driving in ford	Tragedy in Powys	10/7/112
	Conference report	Vehicles in the countryside	89/9/36
	defra response to MPV on RoW		
	consultation -2005	Co-operate - and legislate	05/3/17
	Defra report on BOAT conditions by		
	FaberMaunsell - 2005	More light on byways	05/3/19
	Footpaths & bridleways - police attitudes	Attitudes to illegal motoring	04/1/1
		Illegal MPV use	08/3/25
	In the countryside - working group rep.	Vehicles in the countryside	91/7/27
	Motoring offences on minor highways	Part #1	02/7/40
		Part #2	02/8/48
		Part #3	02/9/56
		Part #4	02/10/64
		Part #5	03/6/44
	Motorised skateboards	Editorial	97/7/41
	National Parks	User interaction	2 Mar 06
	On BOATs - managing	A new bible	92/3/13
	On unsealed BOATs - damage alleged	Motors ... on unsealed byways #1	04/1/6
	On unsealed BOATs - damage refuted	Motors ... on unsealed byways #2	04/2/14
	On unsealed routes	Opinion	10/4/67
	Prosecution of offenders	Cowboy justice?	04/5/46
		Smiling grimly	11/7/110
	Use of, on public paths	They're innocent!	11/7/110
		An expensive lift	99/8/60
	Use of, on RUPPs	Illegal motoring, the fallacy	87/9/36
		Area of uncertainty	97/5/30
		Pre-emptive strike?	99/2/6
Note this guidance		97/6/34	
Vehicular use of RUPP		97/6/39	
<i>Skrenty v. Harrogate Borough Council</i> [1999]		00/6/44	
Notes on highway verges		82/6/24	
Verges	A still small voice	99/8/66	
	Roadmen and horsemen	04/9/72	
War powers	A conclusive case	05/8/76	
	More on wartime airfields	91/2/6	
White roads	War-time airfields	91/1/2	
	Running up the flag?	96/6/27	
	Taken off the maps	89/7/2	
Width	White roads on OS maps	82/8/32	
	Advice to Inspectors	Feel the width?	02/3/10
	Advice Note 16	Inspector ignores (page 4)	06/12/26
	Adequate width for bridleway	A tight squeeze	09/3/35
		Decisions in brief	09/2/24
	Adequate width for footpath	Rigour = mortis	12/1/21
		Decisions in brief	07/6/73
		Decisions in brief	08/6/75
		Decision in brief	10/6/111
		Decision in brief	08/5/61
	'Approximate' left in order	Appropriately approximate	07/2/24
	'Approximate' struck out of order	Decisions in brief	09/2/22
	'Beaten track' not wide enough	Decisions in brief	08/5/60
		Decisions in brief	12/1/27
	Between boundaries - in a DMMO	All the way to the boundary	98/8/54

	Disputed bridleways	12/4/49
	Establishing highway boundaries from old maps	12/1/15
	Evidence of width	12/4/47
	Full width not given	06/12/26
	<i>Jenkinson v. SoS</i> (1998)	06/1/4
	The widths of Hangleton Lane	11/7/115
BOAT obstructed by house	Measure twice ...	09/6/66
Bridleway wide enough at 1.2 metres	A very tight squeeze	12/7/81
Cart roads between market towns	Width of highways	99/10/81
Defining width during reclassification	Circular arguments	96/6/36
Deriving from user evidence forms	A presumed width	11/8/124
Problems of 'minimum width'		97/2/10
Width confusion		92/5/22
Diverted path - not same width	Agricultural necessity	99/3/15
Established during public inquiry	Deposited evidence of width	05/4/28
	Wider yet and wider	98/10/69
Fence to fence presumption adopted	Width at Wigsley	12/6/69
Fence to fence presumption displaced	<i>Hale v. Norfolk CoCo</i> [2000] EGCS 137	01/1/8
	<i>Hale v. Norfolk CoCo</i> [2000] EGCS 137	01/2/12
Fence put up on narrow path	Yon narrow way ...	08/1/3
Finance Act map establishes width	Wall to wall	02/5/22
Foot user within wider road	Decisions in brief	12/4/52
Footpath along narrow ginnel	Open and shut?	04/10/79
Good practice from inspectors	Questions of width	08/3/32
Gorbeck Road	Width suggests route is carriageway	06/12/26
Headland paths	An adequate width	97/7/44
	The width of headland paths	97/2/12
'Horsefair'	Fairly wide?	09/1/3
		10 Nov.
In awards	Awarded widths	2007
In orders	Decisions in brief	10/3/52
	The cost of delay?	06/4/48
In the past	Contemporary observations...	99/7/52
Inclosure width not accepted by inspector	Awarded width not viable	03/8/33
Inclosure width specification not fatal to road coming into existence	A question of width	11/4/89
Inspector declines to follow guidance	Advice ignored?	12/6/70
Limitation in definitive statement - illegal?	Bring back Back Lane!	99/5/30
	Back to Back Lane	99/10/78
Minimum width	Watching brief	09/2/23
	Minimal uncertainty	97/8/52
	Minimum width - no problem	97/9/58
	Order modified to delete 'minimum'	2 Mar 08
	Pick and choose	08/4/37
Minimum usable width	Decisions in brief	2 Mar 08
Narrowest recorded road?	Narrower still and narrower	08/2/16
Objection to width is evidential	A finely run judgment	05/8/73
Pragmatic view available	<i>Wesleyvale Ltd v. Harding</i> (2003)	03/10/72
Presumption of width dedicated over awarded private road (Wood Lane)	Between the ditches	11/11/169
	Widths of public rights of way in orders and the definitive statement	11/13/190
Presumption of width - general issues.	Decisions in brief	12/1/27
Presumption of reasonable width	A restricted (and obstructed) byway	10/7/129
Restricted byway being diverted	Decisions in brief	07/5/59
Silly OMA statement rebuffed	'Good practice' - who needs it?	07/1/4
Specifying widths in order	Passing by	10/3/46
Sufficient width to pass		

	Tithe plans and OS Boundary Remarks	Back on the Icknield Way	10/11/186
	Trees within width of path being added	Decisions in brief	12/4/52
	Variable widths	Decisions in brief	12/5/63
		Wide ways	95/1/2
		Highway robbery	81/2/7
		Feeling the pinch	97/1/2
		Tighter still	97/5/24
	Wandering with dogs held to establish wide footpath	Not a BOAT ... just a very wide footpath	12/7/82
	Way not wide enough in RB diversion	Not fit for purpose	08/5/62
	Way not wide enough for motors?	A narrow BOAT	95/9/52
	Widest recorded road?	Wider still, and wider	97/8/50
	Width added to order	Decisions in brief	2 Mar 08
	Width reduced by inspector	Decisions in brief	09/3/38
Windfarms	Nuisance to equestrians and others	Don't scare the horses	96/8/50
Written			
representations	Exclusion of late submissions	Admissibility & compliance	10/2/28
		Even nuttier?	11/11/166
	SoS will not allow in WCA orders	Be there, or be... square?	99/1/2
	SoS will allow in WCA orders	Oh all right - stay at home	99/2/6